

Sveriges lantbruksuniversitet Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences

#### Citizen Science Data for temporal species projection



# Citizen Science Data at the Global Biodiversity Information Facility

N = 1,099,031,473 species reports at www.gbif.org

( »-



## General question & approach

#### Are CSD a reliable basis to

- project the future development or map the distribution of species given scenarios of land-use or climate change?

<u>Approach</u>: Conduct temporal projections or map distributions using models based on CSD vs. models based on systematically collected data -> do they lead to different conclusions about future species abundance?



## **Citizen Science Data features**

The reporting frequency varies non-randomly

- through time
- across space
- between habitats

Typically reports of species presences;

-> few reports of species absences



Yoccoz et al. (2001), Graham et al. (2004), Kery et al. (2010), Snäll et al. (2011, 2014)

#### Four alternative CSD response variables

#### = focal species present





# Research data from repeated surveys of (dead) wood and polypore species



LEMIBOREAL

Recorded variables for

- stand conditions,
- dead wood

Jenni Nordén & Juha Siitonen, around 2004:

 many fungal species at almost 400 sites

- we have re-surveyed 278 of the sites





Norden et al. JEcol 101: 701- (2013)

# Swedish national Forestry Scenario Analysis (FSA)

- Conducted since the 1930'ies; currently every 5<sup>th</sup>-10<sup>th</sup> year
- The last one in 2015
- Swedish Forest Agency responsible; formulates scenarios
- SLU performs the projection work using



 FSA approach: project future forestry and forest dynamics on the national forest inventory (NFI) plots



Location of 1/5 of the >30.000 NFI plots



#### Forest and fungus projected



- Analysis Analysis
- ← ▲ ▲ Production forestry
  - Totally



#### Projections, systematic data vs. CSD



Mair et al., Ecol & Evol, 2017

#### Additional comparisons, CSD vs. systematic



# SLU

# Predicted distribution, Siberian jay



Bradter et al., MEE, 2018



#### Conclusions

- Large Citizen Science Datasets on species occurrence exist
- CSD do not represent a random sample in time, space or among habitats
- Early work suggested small difference in future species abundance between using models based on Swedish CSD and models based on Finnish colonization-extinction data
- Current understading is that models based on CSD overestimate future species abundance in high-quality habitat such as reserves
- CSD seems a suitable sourse of data for mapping species distribution
- We should continue evaluating CSD for answering basic and applied questions for different organism groups



## Thanks for key contributions



Photo: Michael Krikorev



#### Thanks for key contributions





Louise Mair



Helen Moor



Jenni Nordén



Phil Harrison



Mari Jönsson



**Ute Bradter** 







J. Siitonen





Sveriges lantbruksuniversitet Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences

# Thanks for your attention

