

Kick-off meeting of the projects funded through the Biodiversa and Water JPI 2020-2021 Joint Call on "Conservation and restoration of degraded ecosystems and their biodiversity, including a focus on aquatic systems" (BiodivRestore)

4 May 2022, 13:00-18:00 CEST





Welcome words

By Maja Kolar, AEI, Spain







Objectives

- ✓ Get to know the 22 funded projects
- ✓ Start interaction between funded projects and with the funding organisations
- ✓ Learn more about Biodiversa and the WaterJPI expectations in terms of reporting, acknowledgment, additional activities etc.

This meeting is complementary with the clustering workshop aiming at fostering collaborative activities among the funded projects which is organized tomorrow (closed event)





A FEW GENERAL GUIDELINES FOR THE MEETING





A FEW GENERAL GUIDELINES FOR SPEAKERS

When you are speaking, make sure to :

- Switch on your camera
- Switch on your microphone
- Introduce yourself (what's your name and organisation, and your funded projects if any)
- Respect the time constraint

Many thanks in advance!





Welcome words in the context of a co-funded Call

By Laura Palomo-Rios, European Research Executive Agency





Overview of Biodiversa, the Water JPI & the BiodivRestore Action

by Rainer Sodtke, *Biodiversa+ Vice-Chair & BiodivRestore Coordinator, and* **Miguel Ángel Gilarranz**, *WaterJPI Chair & Coordinator*







Biodiversa+ *The European Biodiversity Partnership*

By Rainer Sodtke, Biodiversa+ Vice-Chair & BiodivRestore Coordinator







A well established network, building on Biodiversa

Annual joint calls to support transnational research on biodiversity, ecosystem services and Nature-based solutions



Since 2008









Medium-size projects that demonstrate

- Scientific excellence
- High Policy and societal relevance
- High level of stakeholder engagement







Biodiversa+ : the new European biodiversity partnership

Biodiversa+ : a network with a biodiversity focus and an increasing international dimension







Co-funded by the European Union







Aligned with the policy context..!







Learn more on Biodiversa main achievements



https://www.biodiversa.org/1557/download





Joint Programming Initiative Water challenges for a changing world (Water JPI)

By Miguel Ángel Gilarranz, AEI, Spain Water JPI Vice-Chair

KICK-OFF MEETING – May 4, 2022 - BIODIVRESTORE 2020-2021 CALL





What are Joint Programming Initiatives – JPIs?

An initiative of European Member States and the European Commission for tackling Current Grand Challenges with European dimension and global outreach through:

- Identification of common research, development and innovation priorities.
- Launch of Joint multilateral activities e.g. calls for projects, networks of experts, technology transfer.
- Reinforcement of links to various international initiatives.

Ultimate aims

- To make better use of Europe's limited public RDI funding.
- To respond jointly to current challenges.





10 JPIs since 2008



Water Challenges for a Changing World



Healthy and Productive Seas and Oceans



More Years, Better Lives -The Potential and Challenges of Demographic Change



Antimicrobial Resistance-The Microbial Challenge -An Emerging Threat to Human Health



Connecting Climate Knowledge for Europe











Alzheimer and other Neurodegenerative Diseases

Global Urban Challenges,

Joint European Solutions

Agriculture, Food

Change

Security and Climate

Cultural Heritage and

Challenge for Europe

A Healthy Diet for a

Healthy Life

Global Change: A New

**** * * ***



Water JPI Membership



Water JPI partners currently represent 88% of the European National Public RDI investment on Water





Water JPI Global Partnership











EARS

Nate









Water JPI Joint Calls:

•2013 Pilot Call: Emerging Water Contaminants

•2015 Joint Call: Developing technological solutions for services for water distribution and measurement, wastewater treatment and reuse, desalination, floods and droughts

•2016 Joint Call: Improving water use efficiency and reducing soil and water pollution for a sustainable agriculture

•<u>2017 Joint Call</u>: Water resource management in support of the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs)

•<u>2018 Joint Call</u>: Closing the water cycle gap - improving sustainable water resources management

•2020 Joint Call: Risks posed to human health and the environment by pollutants and pathogens present in the water resources

•2020-2021 Joint Call: Conservation and restoration of degraded ecosystems and their biodiversity, including a focus on aquatic systems







European Co-funded Partnership Water4All – Water Security for the Planet



E. Internationalisation		B. Research and innovation Development	
Developing international cooperation agreements Engaging with UN Water and other international stakeholders Developing innovative tools for cooperation		Joint transnational calls Thematic annual programming Young researchers calls Demo/transfer calls	
A. Joint vis		ion & SRIA	
	SRIA Development Mapping of programmes and impacts Development of synergies Dissemination		
D. Demonstrating Solution efficiency		C. Science	– Policy - End-users interface
Water Living Labs (LLs) & demos atlas Network of LLs/demos and roadmap for demonstration implementation Support to development of new LLs Market uptake support Liaising with investors		Knowledge hubs, policy WGs Support to start-ups creation PhD scheme; mobility scheme Vocational training Capacity building on systemic thinking Link to research infrastructures Toolbox for managing water data	





UPCOMING:



Water4All – Water Security for the Planet upcoming 2022 Joint Call on **"Management of water resources: resilience, adaptation and mitigation to hydroclimatic extreme events and management tools ".**

Themes:

- 1 Resilience, adaptation and mitigation to hydroclimatic extreme events
- 2 Tools for water management in the context of hydroclimatic extreme events
- 3 Improved water governance in the context of hydroclimatic extreme events and international contexts

Over 30 Funding Agencies from Europe and abroad participating

Call secretariat: <u>water4all2022_callsecretariat@mur.gov.it</u> More information: <u>http://www.waterjpi.eu/joint-calls/joint-call-2022-water4all</u>





For more information...



- Website : <u>www.waterjpi.eu</u>
- A Newsletter Subscribe on line!



@WaterJPI



- LinkedIn Water JPI researcher forum group https://www.linkedin.com/groups/8455262
 - Joint Calls announcements & Networking
 - Announcement of events and activities



- A unique contact point
 - <u>waterjpisecretariat@agencerecherche.fr</u>
 - Phone + 33 | 78 09 8| 20



Website: <u>www.water4all-partnership.eu</u>







The BiodivRestore Cofund Action







The BiodivRestore ERA Net-Cofund Action







Amplitude of the BiodivRestore research call







Overview of the BiodivRestore call

Call on conservation and restoration of degraded ecosystems and their biodiversity, including a focus on aquatic systems

All ecosystems were eligible







The success of the call possible thanks to :

The Call Secretariat (AEI, in Spain)

✓ The funders of the call

✓ The European Commission

✓ The Evaluation Committee

✓ External reviewers

A GREAT THANKS TO ALL!!





Introduction

General impression on the call and its outputs

Presented by Judith Fisher (Vice Chair), Director Fisher Research Pty Ltd, IPBES Multidisciplinary Expert Panel, IUCN CEM Theme Leader Ecosystems and Invasive Species

On behalf of the Chair and Vice Chair

Cara Nelson (Chair), Professor of Restoration Ecology (University of Montana, USA); chair of the IUCN Ecosystem Restoration Thematic Group





OVERVIEW OF THE 2020-2021 BIODIVERSA AND WATERJPI JOINT CALL ON "Conservation and restoration of degraded ecosystems and their biodiversity, including a focus on aquatic systems"





Studied themes



and restoration actions





Studied environments







Origin of applicants







Origin of applicants



Countries participating to the call




Origin of the coordinators







Requested Budget

Requested budget by submitted full proposals (absolute value)









Awarded Budget



Awarded budget to successful proposal

Awarded budget to successful proposal





OVERVIEW OF THE EVALUATION PROCESS





Composition of the EvC (Chair: Cara Nelson; Vice-Chair: Judith Fisher)

Scientific experts (21)

P/M experts (15)

STEP 1

Cara Nelson Asa Aradóttir Susan Baker Nana Bolashvili James Bullock Michael Brufford Sarah Clement Çiğdem Coşkun Hepcan Carsten Dormann Myra Finkelstein Simonetta Fraschetti **Christopher Frissel** Michael Fullen Jim Hallet Steven Handel Antonio Lo Porto Guillermo Luna Jorquera Matthew Potts **Bill Slee** Eric Wolanski Joy Zedler

Judith Fisher Peter Cochrane Simon Gardner Floyd Homer Colin Hindmarch Katia Hueso Kortekaas Manuel Lago Fernando Magdaleno Vinod Mathur Angela Morgado Ivone Pereira Jan Plesnik Sunandan Tiwari Liette Vasseur Julia Da Silva Vilela Sanaa Zebakh

Scientific experts (17)

Cara Nelson Asa Aradóttir Susan Baker Patrick Bohlen Nana Bolashvili James Bullock Michael Brufford Carsten Dormann Myra Finkelstein Adriana Ford Simonetta Fraschetti Jim Hallet Steven Handel George Kowalchuk Guillermo Luna Jorquera Matthew Potts **Bill Slee**

STEP 2

P/M experts (11):

Judith Fisher Peter Cochrane Simon Gardner Floyd Homer Colin Hindmarch Katia Hueso Kortekaas Manuel Lago Fernando Magdaleno Vinod Mathur Angela Morgado Ivone Pereira Jan Plesnik Sunandan Tiwari





STEP 1 : Eligibility check and evaluation

EVALUATION COMMITTEE (EvC)

Each pre-proposal (5-page project description) to be evaluated by :

- 2* scientific members
- 2* policy/management members
- * one as principal rapporteur and one as secondary rapporteur (reader)

STEP 2 : Eligibility check and evaluation

EVALUATION COMMITTEE (EvC)

Each proposal (16-page project description) to be evaluated by:

- 2* scientific members
- 2* policy/management members

* one as principal rapporteur and one as secondary rapporteur (reader)

EXTERNAL REVIEWERS

Each proposal will in parallel be evaluated by external reviewers:

- 2 scientific external reviewers
- 1 policy/management external reviewer





STEP 1: pre-proposal stage (equal weight)

For Scientific EvC members

- Fit to the scope of the call (1-5; threshold: 3.5)
- Scientific excellence (incl. Novelty and Transnational Added Value) (1-5; threshold: 3)

For Policy/Management EvC members:

• Societal and policy impact (incl. contribution to society and/or policy and Transnational added value (1-5; threshold: 3)

STEP 2: full-proposal stage

For Scientific EvC members and external reviewers

- Scientific Excellence (1-5; threshold: 3.5) / weight 7
- Quality and efficiency of the implementation (1-5; threshold: 3) / weight 3

For policy/management EvC members and external reviewers:

• Impact (1-5; threshold: 3) / weight 6





Step 1

172 eligible proposals proposals were evaluated by the scientific and policy management evaluation committees

The discussion was organised in two sub-groups:

• The scientific EvC members evaluated and scored the proposals following the two criteria "excellence" and "quality and efficiency of the implementation" in one sub-group;

 In parallel the policy/management EvC members evaluated and scored the proposals following the criterion "Impact" in another sub-group

Proposals with high discrepancies of scores between the Rapporteur and the Reader were discussed to allow the appointment of a 3rd reader, where needed, to further discuss problematic proposals

92 proposals were invited to submit a full proposal to the Step 2.





Step 2 92 Full proposals

- Along with the Evaluation Committee
- External reviewers reviewed the full proposal with :
- at least 2 scientific external reviewers and
- at least 1 policy/management external reviewer
- Each of the 92 proposals were evaluated by 2 scientific evaluation committee
- members and 2 policy/management evaluation committee members using the same process as Step 1.
- On the last day the Evaluation Committee met in plenary to discuss and agree on the
- final ranking of projects





Outcomes

- Reviewers brought a high level of expertise and did a thorough job.
- There was a high degree of consistency between rapporteurs and readers in their proposal evaluations for both Scientific and Policy Management Committees.
- The call resulted in a large number of exciting, high-quality proposals.
- The funded projects have the potential to substantially advance restoration and conservation science and practice.





Keynote on "Upscaling effective ecological restoration in the EU - Challenges for the scientific community."

By Kris Decleer, , Research Institute for Nature and Forest, Belgium







RESEARCH INSTITUTE

Upscaling effective ecological restoration in the EU Challenges for the scientific community

Kris Decleer

<u>info@ser-europe.org</u> · <u>http://ser-europe.org/</u> Restoration Resource Center: <u>https://www.ser-rrc.org/</u>







Legal restoration framework in the EU

Binding restoration targets:

- Birds and Habitats Directive
- Water Framework Directive
- Marine Strategy Framework Directive
- Invasive Alien Species Regulation
- Upcoming: Nature Restoration Regulation
 - Deadlines
 - Area and quality targets for ecosystems
 - Bring nature back to agricultural and urban land
 - Reverse the decline of pollinators
 - Increase the quantity of forests and improve their health and resilience
 - Restore at least 25,000 km of rivers to a free-flowing state by 2030
 - Rewetting of drained peatlands
- Upcoming: Soil Health Directive

Non-binding restoration targets (Biodiversity Strategy 2030)

- Legally protect at least 30% of the EU's land area and 30% of its seas
- Effectively manage all protected areas, defining clear conservation objectives and measures + monitoring







What does it mean for:







Challenges for the scientific community 1. Quality of restoration 2. Quantity of restoration 3. Monitoring and reporting 4. Knowledge transfer, Training, Awareness



- Restoration is a continuum
 - Different baselines and ambition levels
 - > Assessment of degradation: drivers, pressures, state, impact...
 - Targets: reference conditions (conflicting views!)
- Actions: cost-effective
- Results: sustainable (resilient to climate change + socio-economic context)
- Multidisciplinary approach: reducing barriers for successful ecological restoration





The restoration continuum concept



Ecological Restoration: the **process** of assisting the (full) **recovery of a native ecosystem** that has been degraded, damaged or destroyed.

Remediation: remove sources of degradation

Rehabilitation: reinstate a level of ecosystem functioning where the goal is renewed and ongoing provision of ecosystem services (rather than biodiversity and integrity of a native reference ecosystem)

Gann et all. 2019: SER principles and standards for ecological restoration https://www.ser.org/page/SERStandards





The case of degraded peatlands in the EU EU is worldwide the 2nd largest emitter of greenhouse gasses due to peatland drainage.

In Germany peatland agriculture causes annually a climate damage of € 3.6 billion and gets € 300 million EU-grants







Remediation of arable fields on drained peat soil







Rehabilitation: Paludiculture / Carbon farming (wet agriculture/forestry)







Ecological restoration: full recovery of the reference ecosystem (raised bog)







THE IRISH TIMES

Wed, Nov 20, 2019

 NEWS
 SPORT
 BUSINESS
 OPINION
 LIFE & STYLE
 CULTURE

 Environment
 Climate Change
 Heritage & Habitat

More than half a million trees to be planted on former boglands

The focus will be on native woodland trees such as Downy Birch, Scots Pine and Alder

O Wed, Oct 2, 2019, 19:45

Shauna Bowers



Minister for Agriculture Michael Creed and Minister for Climate Action and Environment Richard Bruton announced Coillte and Bord na Móna are collaborating on a project which will see bogs no longer used for peat production transformed into rich native woodlands. Photograph: Julien Behal

More than half a million native woodland trees will be planted on former boglands over the next three years in a bid to tackle carbon emissions, the Department of Environment has announced.





Alternative trajectory for Ecological Restoration: reference ecosystem = lake









Conceptual model of ecosystem degradation and restoration (Adapted from Keenleyside et al. 2012, after Whisenant 1999, and Hobbs & Harris 2001). The troughs in the diagram represent basins of stability in which an ecosystem can remain in a steady state prior to being shifted by a restoration or a degradation event past a threshold (represented by peaks in the diagram) toward a higher functioning state or a lower functioning state. Note: Not all sites in need of physical/chemical amendment depend upon reintroduction for the return of biota - e.g. if colonization potential in that ecosystem is high.











Present degraded state: restoration potential? Restoration measures?



RECHARGE AREA





Scientific guidance needed in the design phase of restoration projects









Precautionary measures to establish resilient ecosystems

- \circ Extinction debt
- \circ Ecological trap
- o Dark diversity
- Large areas with landscape gradients
- o Connectivity
- Maximal reduction of pressures
- \circ Monitoring





33 reasons (not) to fail

1	Insufficient funding	Financial
2	Conflicting interests of different stakeholders	Social-cultural
3	Low political priority for restoration	Policy and governance
4	Lack of integrated land use planning	Legal and ownership
5	Difficulty in obtaining legal or property rights over the area to implement restoration	Legal and ownership
6	Harmful subsidies favouring degradation	Financial
7	Lack of collaboration between different stakeholders	Social-cultural
8	Lack of evaluation, monitoring and documentation	Management planning, implementation
9	Lack of appropriate compensation and financial returns on restoration	Financial
10	Lack of coordination between decision-makers in different domains and administrative departments	Management planning, implementation
11	Complexity of the legal framework	Legal and ownership





1	Insufficient funding	Financial
2	Conflicting interests of different stakeholders	Social-cultural
3	Low political priority for restoration	Policy and governance
4	Lack of integrated land use planning	Legal and ownership
5	Difficulty in obtaining legal or property rights over the area to implement restoration	Legal and ownership
6	Harmful subsidies favouring degradation	Financial
7	Lack of collaboration between different stakeholders	Social-cultural
8	Lack of evaluation, monitoring and documentation	Management planning, implementation
9	Lack of appropriate compensation and financial returns on restoration	Financial
10	Lack of coordination between decision-makers in different domains and administrative departments	Management planning, implementation
11	Complexity of the legal framework	Legal and ownership
12	Unsuitable policies and lack of enabling policy instruments	Policy and governance
13	High level and rate of degradation	Environmental
14	Inadequate implementation of current policies	Policy and governance
15	The timing of restoration projects does not correspond to ecological and social timescales	Management planning, implementation
16		Social-cultural
	Lack of understanding and collaboration across different aspects of restoration, e.g., ecology, engineering, social sciences, etc.	
17	Constraints due to biotic challenges e.g. concerning species dispersal rates, interspecific interactions, etc.	Environmental
18	Lack of effective knowledge exchange	Social-cultural
19	Lack of motivation in decision-makers to incorporate innovation	Management planning, implementation
20	Lack of prior evaluation, assessment and design	Management planning, implementation
21	Lack of societal awareness and engagement	Social-cultural
22	Lack of involvement of the private sector	Management planning, implementation
23	Lack of relevant ecological knowledge and experience	Management planning, implementation
24	Perceived complexity of implementing restoration	Legal and ownership
25	Constraints due to abiotic characteristics of the area, e.g. climate, topography, water availability	Environmental
26	Unrealistic or unclear project goals	Management planning, implementation
27	Lack of standards against which progress can be measured	Management planning, implementation
28	Lack of quality plant material (including lack of suitable species and genotypes)	Environmental
29	Lack of skilled professionals to perform restoration	Management planning, implementation
30	Lack of knowledge about soils	Management planning, implementation
31	Conflicts between restoration goals, e.g. biodiversity, climate change mitigation, nutrient retention	Environmental
32	Lack of sense of identity, attachment to the landscape	Social-cultural
33	Lack of suitable technology	Management planning, implementation





Challenge 2: Quantity of restoration

- 30% protected area, 10% strictly protected area per biogeographical region + objectives + actions
- National Restoration Plan for ecosystem groups + Prioritization
- Mitigation and adaptation to climate change
- Connectivity + buffering + cross-border coordination
- 'Forgotten' habitats and species







Natura2000:

- 18% of the land
- 8% of marine waters

But:

Each biogeographical area: 30%



https://ec.europa.eu/environment/publications/ criteria-and-guidance-protected-areasdesignations-staff-working-document_en

Reference data: ©ESRI

















30% PA process Pledge by end of 2022





National Restoration Plans: different site-specific objectives






Case-study

Flanders lost 75% of its wetlands since the 1950-60's



-142.000 ha \rightarrow agriculture -37.000 ha \rightarrow urbanisation

Decleer et al. 2016. Mapping wetland loss and restoration potential in Flanders (Belgium): an ecosystem service perspective. *Ecology* and *Society* 21(4):46.





Historical wetlands in Flanders (\pm 1950)



potential in Flanders (Belgium): an ecosystem service perspective. Ecology and Society 21(4):46. https://doi.org/10.5751/ FS-08964-210446

Research, part of a Special Feature on Ecological Restoration, Ecosystem Services, and Land Use

Mapping wetland loss and restoration potential in Flanders (Belgium): an ecosystem service perspective

Kris Decleer¹, Jan Wouters¹, Sander Jacobs¹, Jan Staes², Toon Spanhove¹, Patrick Meire² and Rudy van Diggelen²







Total wetland area in Flanders



- 75% (179,000ha) lost since 1950's: 37,000ha (15%) by urbanisation; rest (85%) by agricultural intensification
- At present: still 5% of Flanders is 'wetland' (68,000 ha, but 24,000 ha has no spatial protection)
- 147,000ha can be restored; 30% (49,000 ha) has appropriate spatial planning and protection status to justify wetland expansion





Wetland expansion in Flanders by 2050 as part of Natura2000 policy

	Min-max expansion target (ha)	proportion of 'restoration opportunity' (%)
Temporary wet soil (meso-eutrophic)	3,490-6,275	4-8
Temporary wet soil (oligotrophic)	128	1
Permanently wet soil (meso-eutrophic)	551-775	2-3
Permanently wet soil (oligotrophic)	736-966	19-25
Tidal marsh	2,491	26
Shallow water (oligo-mesotrophic & eutrophic)	1,485-2,366	?
TOTAL	8,892-13,002	?
TOTAL excl. open waters	7,406-10,636 ha	5-7*

* but: **30% (49,000 ha) of 147,000 ha** potential wetland already has appropriate spatial planning and protection status to justify wetland expansion













Challenge 3: Monitoring and reporting

- Indicator selection
- Thresholds (targets)
- Both project level and national monitoring network
- Baseline situation and distance-to-target
- Evaluation for adaptive management, reporting and communication





The recovery wheel

Qualitative recovery ranking system (e.g. scale 1 to 5), compared to baseline situation



^{*} Paragraph 5.1 is largely based on Gann et al. (2019 section 2 principle 5)

Helpfull for measuring progress at site level:

- objectives & indicator selection
- baseline & distance to target
- reporting
- communication

DOWNLOADS:

- PDF of the blank wheel and data sheet here.
- interactive version online.
- excel version <u>here</u>.
- interactive app for Android, iPhone, and iPad.

You can access a blank version of the Social Benefits Wheel and data sheet <u>here</u>.





Challenge 4: Knowledge transfer and Training

- Structural collaboration science-policy-practice
- Best practices for restoration of different ecosystem types and habitats of species
- Adjustable over time
- Accessable and understandble for non-scientists in the national language and embedded in local culture
- Site level information (abiotic and biotic quality, landscape ecological functioning)
- Co-financing for scientists to engage in projects
- Networking and meta-analyses





Standards of good practice for planning and implementing ecological restoration





INTERNATIONAL PRINCIPLES AND STANDARDS FOR THE PRACTICE OF ECOLOGICAL RESTORATION

SECOND EDITION: September 2019

George D. Gann, Tein McDonald, Bethanie Walder, James Aronson, Cara R. Nelson, Justin Jonson, James G. Hallett, Cristina Eisenberg, Manuel R. Guariguata, Junguo Liu, Fangyuan Hua, Cristian Echeverría, Emily Gonzales, Nancy Shaw, Kris Decleer, and Kingsley W. Dixon

Coordinating Editors: George D. Gann, Tein McDonald, and Bethanie Walder

- Planning and design
- Implementation
- Monitoring, documentation, evaluation and reporting
- Post-implementation maintenance



https://www.ser.org/page/SERStandards





Some international knowledge-sharing platforms

- Endangered Landscapes Programme: <u>http://www.conservationevidence.com</u> and <u>http://www.restorationevidence.org</u>
- LIFE-Nature: <u>https://ec.europa.eu/environment/archives/life/publications/lifepublications/lifefocus/nat.htm</u>
- Natura2000 Communication Platform: <u>https://ec.europa.eu/environment/nature/natura2000/platform/knowledge_exchange/</u>
- European Nature Information System (EUNIS): <u>https://eunis.eea.europa.eu/</u>
- Biodiversity Information System for Europe (BISE): https://biodiversity.europa.eu/
- Eionet Portal: <u>https://www.eionet.europa.eu/etcs/etc-bd/</u>
- Ramsar Convention: <u>https://ramsar.org/resources/ramsar-sites-management-toolkit</u>
- Water Information System for Europe (WISE): <u>https://water.europa.eu/</u>
- Agreement on the Conservation of African-Eurasian Migratory Waterbirds: <u>https://www.unep-aewa.org/</u>

Not in the native language Lack of interaction (training, learning, discussion) Lack of integration in the local context and culture Lack of central coordination and outreach Lack of sustainable expertise building capacity

Clarivate Web of Science[™]













Standards of good practice for planning and implementing ecological restoration



Kaisu Aapala, Maarit Similä ja Jouni Penttinen (toim.)



--nn

ontwikkeling+beheer natuurkwaliteit

Duurzaam herstel van



SŁOWIŃSKIE TORFOWISKA W OCHRONIE KLIMATU

> JAK OCHRONA PRZYRODY W SŁOWIŃSKIM PARKU NARODOWYM MOŻE PRZYCZYNIĆ SIĘ DO ŁAGODZENIA ZMIAN KLIMATYCZNYCH







Towards sustainable science-practice collaboration networks at national and local level



National / Site-level:

- Planning and design
- Implementation
- Monitoring, documentation, evaluation and reporting
- Post-implementation maintenance
- Species protection plans





ontwikkeling+beheer natuurkwaliteit

The Dutch Knowledge Network 'OBN' for restoration and management

30 Years Linking scientists - policy makers - practitioners to foster effective ecological restoration

- Platform with different expert teams (landscape types)
- 130 active members of more > 100 organisations
- Different expertise and strong motivation
- Teams: detect knowledge gaps and proposal of applied research/test/review projects
- Advisory committee prioritises research projects, aligned with other knowledge programs
- Steering group decides
 - Long term knowledge and monitoring agenda
 - Yearly program for research and outreach activities
 - Tenders, execution and guiding of research
 - Financial support c. € 2 million/y







RESEARCH

PRACTICE

POLICY

ontwikkeling+beheer natuurkwaliteit

The Dutch Knowledge Network 'OBN' for restoration and management

30 Years Linking scientists - policy makers - practitioners to foster effective ecological restoration

https://www.natuurkennis.nl/

- Easy-to-go online **Documentation Platform** with thematic state-of-the-art best-practice guidance (habitats, restoration measures, species) for both novice and expert practitioners
 - Factsheets, maps, decision support tools, reports, newsletters, brochures, popularizing articles, ppt-presentations, video...
 - Expert policy advice and recommendations
 - PhD's, scientific papers
- Field training events ('train the trainer')
- Workshops and Symposia





SERR Sth – 9th SEP 2022 ALICANTE, SPAIN

HOME COMMITTEES ~ PROGRAMME & SPEAKERS ~ REGISTRATION ~ PARTICIPATE ~ VENUE ~ SUPPORTERS ~

Welcome

RESTORING NATURE, RECONNECTING PEOPLE

Welcome to the 13th European Conference on Ecological Restoration! Welcome to SER Europe 2022!

The Society for Ecological Restoration (SER) is the professional Society of reference in the field of ecological restoration. It promotes the science, practice, and policy of ecological restoration to protect biodiversity, improve adaptation to climate change, mitigate its effects, and restore a healthy relationship between nature and society. For three decades, SER has given voice to this discipline and provided leadership in all aspects of its development. The European Chapter of the Society, acquaints hundreds of experts and organizational members in 37 countries, and has affiliated organizations in 6 of them.

These are crucial times for ecological restoration in Europe and globally. Ecological restoration is essential to combat climate

SYMPOSIA, WORKSHOPS AND COURSES

Key dates

March 22, 2022 Deadline for proposal submission Upon receipt and until March 29, 2022 Accepted proposal notification to coordinators

https://www.sere2022.org/





No time to waste... let's work together ...and engage in the challenges







Q&A Session

For any question: USE "Q&A" FUNCTION







PRESENTATION OF THE FUNDED PROJECTS (session 1/5)

FIRST: COAST - COnservation of mArine ecosystems around Santo AnTão, Cabo Verde: implications for policy and society, by *Teresa Amaro*

COSAR - Context-dependence of the societal and ecological outcomes from river ecosystem restoration, by *Jeremy Piffady*

FishME - Social and ecological effects of Fish removal in Mountain Ecosystems, by *Dirk Schmeller*

ForestFisher - Priority areas for conservation and restoration of Amazonian forestfrugivorous fish interactions and associated fisheries, by *Pablo Tedesco*





PRESENTATION OF THE FUNDED PROJECTS (session 1/5)

EMYS-R - A socio-ecological evaluation of wetlands restoration and reintroduction programs in favor of the emblematic European pond turtle and associated biodiversity: a pan-European approach, by *Jean-Yves Georges*

Transloc - Translocations of flora and fauna for conservation and restoration: ecological, evolutionary, and socio-economic impacts at multiple scales, by *Francois Sarrazin*





COnservation of mArine ecosystems around Santo AnTão, Cabo Verde: implications for policy and society

COAST



10 partners, 4 countries, € 1.008.85

Teresa Amaro (coordinator, UAVR, Portugal) 2022-2025



Objectives and project description

Cabo Verde is a country where **Blue Growth** can help to contribute with solutions for high poverty rates, while building on the long tradition of local economical use of the marine environment. <u>However</u>, no valuable baseline knowledge on the environmental status of their marine ecosystems is available, hampering the development of measures, ensuring their sustainable use, management, conservation and restoration.

<u>COAST</u> will provide multidisciplinary understanding about the **biodiversity** and ecosystem functioning, as well as suitable indicators of recovery, which is the crucial basis to establish sound conservation or restoration measures. This will allow the implementation of integrated environmental management actions based on the best scientific knowledge.



Objectives and project description

- Evaluate the vulnerability of marine communities (habitat mapping, survey of anthropogenic measures, patterns of biodiversity, risk models),
- implement pilot conservation/restoration actions for selected degraded ecosystems based on the previous assessments,
- provide baseline data to inform policymakers, authorities, institutions and practitioners towards effective marine conservation and restoration in these habitats and demonstrate the repeatability of the approach in other regions,
- increase ecosystem resilience to climate change around Santo Antão island.



Study area

Santo Antão, Cabo Verde





Objectives and project description

Project structure **COAST**

WP6. Coordination & data management UA/GEOMAR



Figure 1 – Structure and flow of the Work Packages.



Expected Scientific Impact

Improved knowledge on marine Santo Antão habitats.

Provide efficient management recommendations for their sustainable development, along with **mitigation plans for the effects of global changes**, in line with the needs of stakeholders and local communities.

Improve research capacity to collect data and to define baselines for marine ecossystems.

Develop training on new instrumentation operation and maintenance (i.e. underwater remotely operated vehicles - ROVs).

Establish a collaborative environment for the integrated analysis of local data.

Advanced innovative technology and the vulnerability analysis of marine ecosystems in Santo Antão.



Expected Societal & Policy Impact

<u>**COAST</u>** aims at contributing to create guidelines and priority conservation strategies to affected ecosystems and to achieve efficient management recommendations, locally and in other islands and coastal African countries.</u>

Key stakeholders involved:

Local environmental authorities (i.e. Port Maritime Agency, Porto Novo City hall) and public agencies (ADPM, Biosfera),

Local community members (fishermen), NGOs (Biosfera),

Universities and research institutions.

<u>COAST</u> will support translation of science into policy interventions and contribute to reducing economic and social disparities, linking the needs of the marine ecosystems and the communities.



Acknowledgement

Funders

- Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT), Portugal
- Fundo Regional para a Ciência e Tecnologia (FRCT), Portugal
- Agencia Estatal de Investigación (AEI), Spain
- German Research Foundation (DFG), Germany
- Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR), France



biodiversa









Context-dependence of the societal and ecological outcomes from river ecosystem restoration COSAR

Jérémy Piffady (Coord. – INRAE Riverly Unit / FR)

E. Tales, A. Jeliazkov, C. Le Pichon, J. Belliard, M. Floury – INRAE Hycar Unit / FR
S. Stoll, N. Kaiser, M. Palt – UCB Environmental Campus Birkenfeld / GER
P. Verdonschot, R. Verdonschot – WEnR Wareningen Environmental Research / NL
C. Weber – EAWAG / SZ



Objectives and project description

COSAR aims to produce an operational framework to identify the environmental context features mostly determining both ecological and societal outcomes from physical restoration projects of streams.

Based on an existing 200 restored sites database, COSAR is designed as a 3 step process

- Quantifying restoration ecological and societal outcomes, and the potential synergies
 - Define a set of relevant metrics to assess the ecological (INRAE / WEnR) and the societal (UCB) outcomes
 - Integrate ecological and societal outcomes to assess their interrelationships (INRAE / WEnR / UCB)
- <u>Explaining</u> how these sets of restoration outcomes are influenced by geographical, societal and environmental contexts, considered at different scales
 - Spatial context (INRAE / UCB / WEnR)
 - Legacies effects (INRAE / UCB)
- Predicting expected outcomes of possible restoration projects
 - Co-constructing with stakeholders an operational analysis framework to assess restoration outcomes (UCB / EAWAG / INRAE)
 - Provide guidelines to integrate the context-dependencies in planning future restoration projects, optimising the chances to achieve positive results (All partners)



Expected Scientific Impact

Introducing a <u>scale-dependent multiple stressor framework</u> in the restoration topic

- How do drivers and pressures assessed at different spatial scales (from local site to watershed scale) influence both the societal and ecological outcomes of restoration projects ? What are the potential major limiting factors for restoration positive outcomes?
- BACI monitoring framework

Considering the legacies effects, so far rather neglected in large-scale analyses

- *Historical databases at the European scale (Ecological atlas, demographical evolution,...)*
- Stakeholders expert knowledge on the watersheds context

<u>Coupling both the ecological and societal assessments of restoration outcomes in a common causal framework</u>

- Identifying the synergies and trade-offs between these different outcomes
- What environmental factors do enhance such synergies?



Expected Societal & Policy Impact

<u>Societal</u> (population and stakeholders) <u>acceptance</u> of costly ecological restoration projects is of primary importance to reach WFD goals, UN SDGs

- Stakeholders need objective criteria to communicate on positive outcomes of restoration projects
- Stakeholders need integrative frameworks and tools <u>to optimize the designs</u> of future restoration projects to enhance more positive outcomes, considering the overall watershed context

To meet the stakeholders' expectations, a dedicated WP involves an <u>international</u> <u>board of stakeholders groups</u> through regular meetings to present and discuss goals, criteria, challenges.

 national/regional/local environmental legislation and administrations responsible for restoration planning and funding; institutions responsible for implementation of restorations; consulting companies specialised in restoration; fishing, agricultural and tourism organisations; hydropower producers; environmental NGOs

Information will be spread through a <u>website</u>, social media posts, and a final <u>fact</u> <u>sheets compendium</u>, some of which written by stakeholders.



BiodivRestore has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the grant agreement No 101003777

Acknowledgement

COSAR is funded by

• French National Research Agency (ANR), France



• German Research Foundation (DFG), Germany

DFG Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft

- German Research Foundation
- Ministry of Agriculture, Nature and Food Quality (LNV), Netherlands



Ministry of Agriculture, Nature and Food Quality



BiodivRestore has received funding j grant agreement No 101003777





novation programme under the





Social and ecological effects of Fish removal in Mountain Ecosystems





Objectives and project description





Expected Scientific Impact







NGOs, National and Regional Parcs, CNPN, Forest offices, Fishery Associations, Tourist Associations, Mountain Guides

FishME toolbox, YouTube, The Conversation etc.


Acknowledgement



Executive Agency for Higher Education, Research, Development and Innovation Funding



Der Wissenschaftsfonds.

JPI



MOUNTAINS A FRAGILE SOURCE OF LIFE





BiodivRestore has received funding grant agreement No 101003777





Water, novation programme under the

Mountain Research





Priority areas for conservation and restoration of Amazonian forestfrugivorous fish interactions and associated fisheries FORESTFISHER

Pablo Tedesco (Partner 1, Toulouse, France)

Consortium

Financed

- 1. Institut de Recherche pour le Développement, France
- 2. Instituto Politécnico de Bragança, Portugal
- 3. Technical University of Munich, Germany
- 4. Federal University of Amazonas, Brazil
- 5. Universidade Estadual de Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil

Self-financed

- A. Mississippi State University, USA
- B. Federal University of Rondônia, Brazil
- C. State University of Maringá, Brazil
- D. Universidade Federal de Goiás, Brazil
- E. National Center for Monitoring and Early Warning of Natural Disasters, Brazil *Subcontracted*
- 1ª. NGO AÇÃO ECOLÓGICA GUAPORÉ, Brazil



BiodivRestore has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innevation programme under the grant agreement No 101003777



Objectives and project description

Rationale

Frugivorous fishes directly depend on the forest and play a crucial role in **maintaining forest diversity** as seed dispersers and constitute a **key source of food** and income for traditional, local human populations.

Objective

ForestFisher propose to integrate these diverse components to define **priority areas** for conservation and restoration that should ensure the **resilience of the socio-ecological system**

Activities

1. Analyse **recent and ongoing impacts** of land-use change on frugivorous fish diversity (<u>France</u>, USA, Brazil)

2. Build regional **informed scenarios** of the future Amazon land-use change (<u>Germany</u>, Portugal, Brazil)

3. Forecast the frugivorous fish distribution shifts (Portugal, Brazil, France)

4. Set **participatory land use planning** involving stakeholders (<u>Brazil</u>, Germany, Portugal)

A socio-ecological system under pressure





Expected Scientific Impact



The Amazon is at the centre of an ongoing intense international debate. ForestFisher offers a timely and interdisciplinary approach to assess the combined effects of multiple threats on fish species that are essential to the functioning of a socio-economic system and to the ecological processes needed for forest sustainability.



Research questions addressed

1. How recent land-use changes have affected the frugivorous fish diversity? (WP1)

2. Will future climate, land-use, and river fragmentation changes in the Amazon affect the availability of suitable areas for frugivorous fish species? (WP2 & WP3)

3. How these expected shifts in the distribution of frugivorous fish species will affect fishing communities and their traditional fishing grounds? (WP4)



Expected Societal & Policy Impact

Main societal challenge

Contribute to a successful mitigation of the current and future changes in the fishing resource

...and policy issue

Build a protocol that guides public policy and decision makers to design **Fishery agreements and land use planning**

a participatory instrument that associates fisheries and land use in communal rules, based on scientific and traditional knowledge

Participatory workshops with identified stakeholders:

- Two Brazilian Partners as stakeholders (CEMADEN & NGO Ecoporé)
- Representatives and leaders of local communities
- State and Federal agencies, local associations and schools

A focus on The Madeira / Purus interfluvial region





Acknowledgement

Funding agencies









MISSISSIPPI STATE

IVERSITY_{TM}

JPI





BiodivRestore has received funding grant agreement No 101003777



Water nnovation programme under the



A socio-ecological evaluation of wetlands restoration and reintroduction programs in favour of the emblematic European pond turtle and associated biodiversity: a pan-European approach *Emys-R*

Jean-Yves Georges PD, PhD (Partner 1)







Objectives and project description

The EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030 emphasises that "we need nature in our lives".

Species reintroductions are considered as operational strategies to counter biodiversity loss. Yet the outcomes of such reintroductions need to be promoted.

Emys-R is a 3-year participatory action-oriented research project aiming at defining the most **ecologically & economically efficient** and **socially supported methods of wetland restoration** suitable for sustainable maintenance of Emys and associated biodiversity throughout Europe.



Expected Scientific Impact

- Science

- **Transdisciplinary research**: humanities, social and natural sciences
- Multiscale and multi-site approach for an integrated socio-ecological assessment: literature review, experimental approaches, environmental genomics, long term monitoring, forecast modelling

Hypotheses

i) higher degree of wetland restoration can compensate for limited capabilities of captive bred Emys to settle in the wild

ii) conservation actions can benefit society by bringing together people and nature

- Innovation
 - Adapt existing biocenotic indices to small continental hydrosystems
 - Implement an adaptive management by co-creating ponds promoting turtle-andnatural-patrimony while preventing invasive species to settle in restored wetlands
- Breakthrough

→ guidelines of best practices for homologous projects: wetland restoration and/or European pond turtle reintroduction/reinforcement throughout Europe



Expected Societal & Policy Impact

Societal challenges

- Identify societally-supported, cost-efficient methods of wetland restoration for effective species reintroduction
- Assess values of nature given by citizens and authorities that motivate people and politicians to engage in conservation actions
- Identify levers to bring back together people and nature

- Stakeholder involvement

- Internal: local councils, land managers and NGO as partners
- External: inhabitants, local actors and local-to-national authorities throughout Europe

Knowledge dissemination

- Public seminars and participatory workshops for improving transfer knowledge between academic experts, non-expert (citizen science) leading to decision making in environmental policies
- Guidelines of best practices for national and EU policy makers



Acknowledgement

- National Agency for Research (ANR), France
- Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF), Germany ٠
- State Education Development Agency (VIAA), Latvia ٠
- National Science Center (NCN), Poland •







Latvian Council of Science



biodiverse

nnovation programme under the

Water

IPI



Translocations of flora and fauna for conservation and restoration: ecological, evolutionary, and socio-economic impacts at multiple scales. **Transloc**

François SARRAZIN Coordinator

Coordinator - Partner 1 *France* Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle (MNHN) – CESCO Res. François Sarrazin

Partner 2 *France* Biotope Res. Fabien Quetier, Anna Deffner

Partner 3 *Spain* Universidad Rey Juan Carlos Res. Alfredo Garcia

Partner 4 Portugal FCiências.ID-- Associação para a Investigação e Desenvolvimento de Ciências Res Fernando Ascensão

Partner 5 *France* Université Paris-Saclay, Laboratoire Ecologie, Systématique et Evolution Res. Bruno Colas



Partner 6 *Belgium* Uliège Res. Marc Dufrene

Partner 7 Morocco

Sultan Moulay Sliman University Res. Sidi Imad Cherkaoui & El Hassan Abba

Partner 8 Sweden

Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences Res. Guillaume Chapron

Partner 9 Switzerland

University of Bern Res. Markus Fischer

Partner 10 France

Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle – BBEES Res. Cécile Callou



Objectives and project description

- Investigate and quantify how conservation translocations impact restoration
- Ecological, evolutionary and sociological dimensions from local to global scales.
- Mix of large databases and accurate case studies

			WP2: Transloc database: development, data collection and curating, FAIR dissemination				
WP	Partners in charge		Site / landscape-level National level Global level				
1	1	Б	WP3: Efforts: translocations' distribution in relation to biodiversity patterns, conservation				
2	5 & 10	nati	policies and implementation costs				
3	1&5	rdi	WP4: Efficacy: viability of translocated populations, effects on species recovery at multiple				
4	1&9	COO	scales, translocation cost-effectiveness				
5	4&6	oject	WP5: Relevance: congruence of translocation efforts with global changes				
6	1&5	brd	WP6: Social and economic effects: stakeholder engagement & governance, cobenefits analysis,				
7	6 & 9	VP1:	conservation conflicts and ethical dimensions of translocations				
8	2 & 8	5	WP7: Global and multi-facetted synthesis on performance of translocations				
			WP8: Outreach to decision-makers and engagement on policy and practice recommendations				



Expected Scientific Impact

Expected impact from the scientific and innovation point of view

i) Documentation (WP2) and definition of standards for translocation preparation, monitoring and reporting

ii) Understanding of translocation efforts (WP3):

- Contribution to the conservation of phylogenetic and functional diversity in Europe
- Effects of EU policies on translocation initiatives
- Assess the influence of economic costs and legal and administrative constraints on translocation initiatives

iii) Understanding of translocation efficacy (WP4)

- Define demographic translocation success criteria beyond the diversity of generation times
- Consider cost-effectiveness dimensions of success and efficacy

iv) Assessing the relevance of translocations (WP5)

- Measuring the congruence of reintroductions with global changes
- Assess how translocated species disperse to better environments given the anthropogenic barriers
- v) Define global and multi-facetted synthesis on performance of translocation (WP7)
- Combine efforts efficacy, relevance and social (WP6) dimensions

Potential breakthroughs

- Identify proxies of the restoration of evolutionary potential
- Mixing functional and evolutionary dimensions of populations translocations
- Define "united" short and long terms translocation success criteria relevant for a wide range of life forms, environments, local practices and target
- Contribute to the developments of standards of translocated population monitoring form ecological and sociological point of views







Expected Societal & Policy Impact

Main societal challenges and/or policy issues.

i) Assess the diversity of the "human dimensions" of translocation projects (WP6).

- Examine actor's awareness and use of different measures to influence satisfaction, conflicts, and socio-ecological outcomes
- Assess the socio-economic consequences (even non monetary) of translocations

li) Assess shift in actor's satisfaction, perceptions, or values over the course of translocations (WP6)

- Case studies including large mammals (e.g. bison) and birds (e.g. vultures) as well as plants (e.g. bristly bellflower or wild tulips)
- Various methods (unstructured and semi-structured interviews in the field, questionnaires, document analysis, companion modelling, serious games in situ)

Stakeholders engagement.

- WP2 (database) to identify stakeholders, and WP 3 (efforts) to prioritise them (following Biodiversa stakeholder engagement handbook)
- Interviews and stakeholder workshops to develop in a participatory manner conceptual models
- Use a subset of translocations involving large animal species such as the European bison and vultures e.g. Parc national des Cevennes and LPO, local farmers

Expected impact from the societal and policy point of view.

- Assessing and valuing the contribution of translocation to the restoration of biodiversity within socio ecosystems from local to global scales
- Feedback of translocation on values and behaviours in translocation sites and at larger scales
- Embrace short and long-time scales and be anchored to regional, national and European policies and financing mechanisms
- Potential renewal of 2013 IUCN guidelines, and new guidelines on rewilding
- Contact EU stakeholders about the drafting process of the proposed Nature Restoration Directive announced by the European Commission based on WP2.

Dissemination of the information

- High number of interactions with local, national and European stakeholders (WP2)
- Outreach trough a dedicated work package (WP 8), for selected stakeholders and key decision makers
- Press releases and communication to a wide audience through a dedicated website linked to the TransLoc database (WP2)
- Final symposium for a broader public, stakeholders, decision-makers and a more scientific audience, recorded to be a deliverable



Acknowledgement



BiodivRestore has received funding grant agreement No 101003777

novation programme under the

JPI

PRESENTATION OF THE FUNDED PROJECTS (session 2/5)

FIRST: BioReset - Biodiversity restoration and conservation of inland water ecosystems for environmental and human well-being, by *Cristina Delerue-Matos*

FreshRestore - Holistic evaluation and restoration measures of human impacts on freshwater ecosystems across biogeographical gradients, by *Kim Magnus Bærum*

RESTOLINK - Quantifying restoration success across biomes by linking biodiversity, multifunctionality and hydromorphological heterogeneity, by *Mario Brauns*

RESPOND - Restoring and Managing Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services of Temporary Pond Landscapes, by *Bram Vanschoenwinkel*





Biodiversity restoration and conservation of inland water ecosystems for environmental and human well-being - BioReset

Cristina Delerue-Matos (REQUIMTE; cmm@isep.ipp.pt)



Partners	Country
REQUIMTE – Rede de Química e Tecnologia	Portugal
CIIMAR - Centro Interdisciplinar de Investigação Marinha e Ambiental	Portugal
AdCL – Águas do Centro Litoral	Portugal
IFE - Institute for Energy Technology	Norway
UVigo - Universidad de Vigo	Spain
UNIOVI – Universidad de Oviedo	Spain
SLU - Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences	Sweden



Objectives and project description

BioReset will directly contribute to European policies, namely by tackling two main environmental objectives of the European Union's promoting Biodiversity and attending Water Quality.

WP1 - Analytical methods to analyze emerging contaminants in inland waters Task 1.1 LC-MS/MS (pharmaceuticals) and CC-Pyr-MS/MS (microplastics) monitoring Task 1.2 Development of innovative analytical devices for pharmaceuticals and plastic-related chemicals screening	WP	Title (leader, partners involved)	Start	End	
	1	Analytical methods to analyze emerging contaminants in inland waters (REQUIMTE, UNIOVI, IFE, AdCL)	2	35	
		Validation and application of analytical methods to monitor EC			
BIORESET	2	Improving the effectiveness and upscaling of wastewater treatments (UVIGO, UNIOVI, REQUIMTE, SLU, IFE, AdCL)	2	35	
WP2 - Improving the effectiveness		Development and testing of remediation processes for EC removal			
WH2 - Imploying the effectiveness and upscaling of wastewater treatments Task 2.1 Advanced oxidation processes with simultaneous adsorption Task 2.2 Green bioremediation with white-rot fungi	3	Evaluation of ecosystem conservation and restoration: diatom biofilms (CIIMAR, all partners)	2	35	
Task 2.3 Technological approaches for the removal of microplastics Task 3.3 System conservation and restoration Task 2.4 Environmental, Economic and Biodiversity Life Cycle Assessments		Development of diatom Raman spectrosocopy to ass ecosystem resilience and recovery			
WP4 - Dissemination	4	Dissemination (UNIOVI, all partners)	1	36	
WP5 - Management	5	Management (REQUIMTE, all partners)	1	36	



Expected Scientific Impact



Expected Societal & Policy Impact

European Green Deal and several UN's Sustainable Development Goals (SDG)

SDG 6 - Clean water and sanitation | SDG 15 - Life on land | SDG 17 - Partnerships for the goals 2030 targets of the post-2020 Global Biodiversity Framework



www2.isep.ipp.pt/bioreset



Acknowledgement



Agencia Estatal de Investigación (AEI), Spain

Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT), Portugal

Swedish Environmental Protection Agency (SEPA), Sweden

The Research Council of Norway (RCN), Norway



BiodivRestore has received funding grant agreement No 101003777



biodiversa









IP

SWEDISH ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY





Holistic evaluation and restoration measures of human impacts on freshwater ecosystems across biogeographical gradients



FreshRestore

Kim Magnus Bærum (PI, Norwegian partner)





Objectives and project description

Connecting global and local stressors to ecological drivers (N)

Population dynamics and functional diversity (SE,N)



Cost efficient naturebased solutions targeting local stressors (DK, all)

Community dynamics and functional diversity (S, FI)



Expected Scientific Impact

Functional diversity x anthropogenic drivers

Size-dependent responses to environmental changes



Integrated socioecological tools for the future

Impacts on, and interaction of, different biodiversity dimensions



Expected Societal & Policy Impact

Resilience and adaptive capacity to climaterelated hazards

Facilitates effective revision of current policies and approaches



The value of ecosystem services

Knowledge transfer and exploitation of results



Acknowledgement



Innovation Fund Denmark

JPI



The Swedish Environmental Protection Agency



biodiversa











Quantifying restoration success across biomes by linking biodiversity, multifunctionality and hydromorphological heterogeneity (RESTOLINK)

Mario Brauns (Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research - UFZ)



https://restolink.weebly.com/

©K.-H. Jährling



Quantifying restoration success across biomes by linking biodiversity, multifunctionality and hydromorphological heterogeneity (RESTOLINK)

Ryan Sponseller, Lina Polvi Sjöberg (*Umeå University-UMU*) Mario Brauns, Patrick Fink, Markus Weitere (*Helmholtz Centre*

for Environmental Research-UFZ) Christine Anlanger, Andreas Lorke (University of Koblenz-Landau-UKL)

Daniel von Schiller, Andrea Butturini, Biel Obrador, Francesc Sabater, Margarita Mendez Lopez, Maria Isabel Muñoz Gracia (University of Barcelona-UB)

Björn Gücker, Iola G. Boëchat (Federal University of São João del-Rei-UFSJ)

Davi Gasparini Fernandes Cunha, Juliano Corbi (University of São Paulo-USP)

©K.-H. Jährling

UFSJ

JSP

Objectives and project description

Novel framework for quantifying restoration success that connects hydromorphology with biodiversity and essential ecosystem functions

- Hydromorphological scales that need to be restored to induce recovery of microbial and macrobial biodiversity
- Uncertainties of biodiversity and (multi)functional restoration targets associated with Biomes
- Deciphering the role of biodiversity for ecosystem functioning
- Ecosystem functions as new indicators of restoration success



Hydromorph. heterogeneity





Expected scientific limpact

- Novel understanding of the functional role of biodiversity (Biodiversity-ecosystem function relationship)
- Application of the concept of ecosystem multifunctionality to streams
- Quantify the role of hydromorphology on biodiversity and ecosystem functioning
- Operationalise the concept of ecosystem services







Expected societal & policy impact

Policy relevance

- EU Biodiversity strategy 2030: Guide how stream hydromorphology must be restoration to return biodiversity and functioning to sustainable levels
- Aichi aims of Convention on Biological Diversity and EU Water Framework Directive: Functions as indicators of early restoration success and ecosystem status

Stakeholder panel

- Catalan Water Agency (ES), County Board of Västerbotten (SE), German Environment Agency (DE), Federal Agency for Nature Conservation (DE), Environmental Agency of the State of São Paulo (BR)
- To be engaged in biannual online workshops









Acknowledgement



Agencia Estatal de Investigación (Spain) (funding decision pending) Federal Ministry of Education and Research (Germany) São Paulo Research Foundation (Brazil)

Swedish Environmental Protection Agency (Sweden)





biodiverse







Restoring and Managing Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services in Temporary Pond Landscapes



Bram Vanschoenwinkel (P1, coordinator, VUB, Belgium)
Luc Brendonck (P2, KULeuven, Belgium)
Bartłomiej Gołdyn (P3, Adam Mickiewicz University)
Margarita Florencio (P4, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid)
Laila Rhazi (P5, University Mohammed V Rabat)





Temporary ponds











Challenges

- **1.** Most temporary ponds in Europe are unprotected.
- 2. Most temporary ponds in Europe are degraded.
- **3.** Temporary pond restoration and creation projects have had variable success.
- 4. Ecosystem services delivered by temporary ponds are poorly understood
- 5. Temporary ponds are poorly known and have a bad reputation




Deliverables

- **1.** Most temporary ponds in Europe are unprotected.
- → Develop an effective conservation framework
- 2. Most temporary ponds in Europe are degraded.
- ightarrow Develop guidelines to reduce degradation
- 3. Temporary pond restoration and creation projects have had variable success.
- \rightarrow Develop guidelines to improve creation and restoration
- 4. Ecosystem services delivered by temporary ponds are poorly understood
- \rightarrow Quantifying ecosystem services and disservices
- 5. Temporary ponds are poorly known and have a bad reputation
- → Raising awareness about temporary pond ecosystems and the strategies needed to preserve them





The role of ancient ecosystem engineers







The role of ancient ecosystem engineers





Link between activities, deliverables and impact





Stakeholders

Group	Stakeholders	Engagement	Stage	Int.	Inf.	Means of communication	
Gov. policy makers	EU organs ⁺	(Involve)	WP3-5	Int	High	pol. brief, directly, symp.	
	Nat. and reg. organs*	Involve	C, WP3-5	High	High	directly, pol. brief	
European pond					1000	directly, symposium, SI	
scientists	EPCN	Collaborate	C, WP5	High	High	papers	
	Freshwater Habitat Trust	Collaborate	C, WP5	High	High	directly, symposium	
Land owners &							
managers	LIFE project coordinators	Involve	WP3	High	Int	symposium, directly	
	National parks**	Involve	WP2,3, 5	Int	High	directly, pol. brief	
	Nature managers***	Involve	WP 3, 5	Int	High	vulg. articles, lectures	
	Farmers organizations****	(Consult)	WP5	Low	Int	pol. briefing	
NGOs	WWF	(Consult)	WP5	Int	Int	pol. briefing	
	Birdlife international	(Consult)	WP5	Int	Int	pol. brief, vulg. articles	
Public	General public	Inform	WP5	Low	Low	media, online movies, signs	
	Local communities	Inform	WP2,5	Low	Int	Directly, media, signs, folders	

Int = interest, Inf = influence, () engagement is not yet initiated, C = conception project, + Rural development committee, European Environmental Agency, * regional gov. of Madrid, Andalusia (Sp), Institute of Nature and Forestry (B), Agency for Restructuring and Modernisation of Agriculture (PI), State Forests (PI), Haut-Commissariat aux Eaux et Forêts et à la Lutte contre la Désertification (M), Direction régionale de l'agriculture (M), ** Zwin (B), Doñana national park (Sp), Warta River-Mouth National Park (PI), ***Natuurpunt (B), Naturalist Club (PI), Association des Sciences de la Vie et de la Terre (M) **** Boerenbond (B), Assoc. Sustainable Agriculture in Poland (PI) pol. brief = Policy briefing



Acknowledgements



Opening new horizons



National Science Centre Poland

Research Foundation Flanders – Belgium State Research Agency – Spain National Science Center – Poland Ministry of National Education, Vocational Training, Higher Education and Scientific Research – Morocco (MENFPESRS)





Royaume du Maroc

Ministère de l'Éducation Nationale, de la Formation Professionnelle, de l'enseignement supérieur et de la Recherche Scientifique



PRESENTATION OF THE FUNDED PROJECTS (session 3/5)

FIRST: BIOCONSENT - Decision-making Support for Forest Biodiversity Conservation and Restoration Policy and Management in Europe: Trade-offs and Synergies at the Forest-BiodiversityClimate-Water Nexus, by *Metodi Sotirov*

FRESHH - Farmer acceptable REstoration of Semi-natural Habitat to limit Herbicides, by *David Bohan*

InterRest - Interactive effects of local and landscape scale restoration of seminatural grasslands and agricultural fields on species interactions and ecosystem functions in different social-ecological systems, by *Catrin Westphal*

NARROW - NARRatives On restored Water, by Håkan Tunon

ReVersal - Restoring peatlands of the nemoral zone under conditions of varying water supply and quality, by *Klaus-Holger Knorr*





Decision-making Support for Forest Biodiversity Conservation and Restoration Policy and Management in Europe: Trade-offs and Synergies at the Forest-Biodiversity-Climate-Water Nexus BIOCONSENT

Metodi Sotirov (University of Freiburg, Germany)

Project consortium:

University of Freiburg (ALU-FR) Germany (*coordinator*) Luleå Technical University (LTU SE) Sweden Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences (SLU) Umeå Sweden European Forest Institute (EFI FI) Bioeconomy Programme Joensuu Finland European Forest Institute (EFI DE) Resilience Programme Bonn Germany University of Forestry (LTU BG) Sofia Bulgaria International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA) Laxenburg Austria Forest Sciences and Technology Center of Catalonia (CTFC) Spain



Objectives and project description

Main objective: to provide novel scientific knowledge and decision-making support to help achieve forest biodiversity conservation and restoration regarding ambitious policy targets.

Main tasks and activities

- WP1 Policy analysis (lead LTU SE, CTFC; all partners)
- Map policy targets and instruments, and assess the cross-sectoral policy integration and actor coordination at the biodiversity-forestry-climate-water nexus
- WP2 Scenarios and behavioural responses (lead: ALU-FR, EFI DE; all partners)
- Explore forest owners' and conservation managers' behavioral changes under different scenarios towards sustainable socio-ecological systems with improved forest biodiversity status
- WP3: Improved socio-ecological forest systems modelling (lead EFI FI, IIASA; all partners)
- Integrate biophysical, socio-economic and policy drivers of forest conservation in modelling tools
- Quantify and assess the outcomes of alternative conservation and restoration measures on forest biodiversity and ecosystem service provision across spatial and temporal scales
- WP4: Synthesis and dissemination (lead: ALU-FR, LTU SE)
- Upscale and communicate project findings and co-design policy and management recommendations



Expected Scientific Impact

Integrated socioecological system approach

- Policy analysis, scenario development, behavioural experiments and forest modelling in six case studies (EU and sub-national level)
- Novel scientific articles and popular publications
- Innovative ways to explore pathways of change through linking policy drivers and forest managers' behavioural responses
- Innovative action research and participatory methods
- Online exchange, on-site training and IT-support
- Novel techniques to integrate human behaviour in forest models to bridge across spatial scales from stand to EU level
- New forest simulation models enhanced with human agent-based drivers



Figure 1: BIOCONSENT integrated socio-ecological system approach



Expected Societal & Policy Impact

- Main societal challenges and policy issues
- Despite ambitious global and EU policy targets, forest biodiversity is under increasing threat
- Effective restoration and conservation needs cross-sectoral and multi-level policy coherence and supportive forest managers' behaviour
- Managers have to respond to multiple policy and socio-economic drivers making trade-offs under complexity, uncertainty and climate change
- Main societal and policy contribution
- EU policy framework: European Green Deal, the EU Biodiversity Strategy to 2030, the EU Forest Strategy to 2030, the EU Habitats Directive and the EU Water Framework Directive
- National policy framework: forest biodiversity conservation and restoration related policies
- Enhanced decision support tools enabling decision makers to make informed choices, explore synergies, and balance trade-offs
- Scientific papers, practitioners' publications; new maps, tablet software, EU policy paper, practitioners' recommendations.

Target audiences Project year **Dissemination tools** Policv Scientific Practitioners 1 and 2 3 community makers Project website (1 x) Х Х Х Х Х Х Х Х Х Х Social media networks EU Policy paper (x1) and х Х х Х Project briefs (2x) Hands-On-Manual for Х Х Practitioners (1x) Х Х Х Scientific conferences Scientific publications (x 10) (X) Х (X) Х х Х Х Workshops / EU conference Х Х



Table 1: Stakeholder engagement and dissemination

Acknowledgement

biodiverse

Funders:

- Austrian Science Fund (FWF), Austria •
- Bulgarian National Science Fund (BNSF), • Bulgaria
- Academy of Finland (AKA), Finland •
- German Federal Ministry of Education and • Research (BMBF), through VDI/VDE-IT, Germany
- Agencia Estatal de Investigación (AEI), • Spain
- The Swedish Environmental Protection • Agency (SEPA), Sweden











Farmer acceptable REstoration of Semi-natural Habitat to limit Herbicides FRESHH

David A. Bohan (Partner 1)

INRAE (France), CRI (Czech Republic), Uni of Innsbruck (Austria), SLU (Sweden) and Wageningen (the Netherlands)



Objectives and project description

We know that carabid beetles could replace herbicide applications, improving the flora and freshwaters of agricultural landscapes.

These (agroecological) agents are not acceptable to farmers – the question is why?

We are interested in farmer decision-making and whether we can improve the acceptability of agroecological approaches with appropriate information at the appropriate scale for greater adoption and better landscape restoration

Working with farmers, FRESHH will co-develop acceptable practices to conserve carabid beetles, reducing herbicide use and run-off, and thereby restoring flora and benefiting aquatic biodiversity at EU scales. FRESHH will attempt to show whether the effects of this approach would 'rewild' the system.

France (Project Lead, Socio-economics and Ecology); Czech Republic (Ecology & Socio-economics); Austria (eDNA metabarcoding of freshwater biodiversity); Sweden (Ecology); and, the Netherlands (Ecological analysis)



Expected Scientific Impact

Expected impact

FRESHH will work to understand the costs and benefits, and opportunities and constraints of supporting weed seed feeding carabids to reduce reliance on herbicides and restore terrestrial and aquatic habitats. Improved farmer knowledge and stakeholder cooperation, will lead to greater (acceptable) adoption and restoration.

Potential breakthroughs

Our socio-economic work with farmers will test whether including agronomic, socio-economic and ecological information from different landscape scales will leverage greater adoption of agroecological management to conserve carabid beetles, off-set herbicide use, restore semi-natural flora and benefit aquatic biodiversity (across the EU), and serve as a model for more sustainable, landscapescale practices.



Expected Societal & Policy Impact

Societal Impact

Communicating the benefits and costs of agroecological management to farmers and stakeholders will lead to greater (acceptability) adoption and restoration, and serve as a model for more sustainable, landscape-scale practices.

Policy Impact

Rewilding and agricultural landscape conservation, for better environmental health, are core requirements of several leading visions and policies for the future of farming, including the UN SDGs, the Common Agricultural Policy, the Green Deal, the EU Nature Restoration and Biodiversity Strategies, and the Water Framework Directive.

Dissemination

FRESHH is explicitly bottom-up, working directly with farmer networks, nationally, and stakeholders, from water companies to the public living in agricultural landscapes. This is our primary mode of dissemination.



Acknowledgements

Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR), France

Technology Agency of the Czech Republic (TAČR), Czech Republic

Austrian Science Fund (FWF), Austria



Agence Nationale de la Recherche

The Swedish Environmental Protection Agency (SEPA), Sweden

Ministry of Agriculture, Nature and Food Quality (LNV), Netherlands



biodivers

Ministry of Agriculture, Nature and Food Quality of the Netherlands











SWEDISH ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY



Interactive effects of local and landscape scale restoration of semi-natural grasslands and agricultural fields on species interactions and ecosystem functions in different social-ecological systems InterRest

Annika Hass & Catrin Westphal (University of Göttingen, Germany)

University of Tartu, Estonia Centro de Ciencia y Tecnología Forestal de Cataluña (CTFC), Spain Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Spain Stockholm University, Sweden KU Leuven, Belgium Wageningen University, Netherlands













Objectives and project description

- Local and landscape restoration effects on biotic interactions in calcareous grasslands
- Effects of social interactions on restoration success



WP0 University of Göttingen
WP1 University of Göttingen, University of Tartu, CTFC
WP2 University of Tartu
WP3 University of Göttingen, KU Leuven

96 grasslands in 3 countries

WP4 *CTFC, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid*

WP5 Stockholm University

WP6 University of Göttingen, Wageningen University



Expected Scientific Impact

- Restoration effects on different biodiversity components: functional diversity, species interactions and ecosystem functions
- Relations between biodiversity components
- Upscaling of restoration to landscape scale
 - Consideration of agri-environment schemes at the landscape scale
 - Meta-networks
- Combining species interaction networks with social networks





Librán-Embid et al. 2021 Adapted from: Bodin et al. 2020, Annual Review of Envir. BiodivRestore has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the grant agreement No 101003777

Expected Societal & Policy Impact

- **Recommendations** for restoration of semi-natural calcareous grasslands
- Identify possible trade-offs and priority sites/interactions (metanetworks)
- Upscaling to other regions
- Identification of social requirements for successful restoration
- Inform EU Biodiversity Strategy, Habitat Directive, Common Agricultural Policy

	Level of engagement	of Inform gement							Consult	Involve	Collaborate
	Method of engagement	Web- site	Social media	Public talks	Press releases	Policy briefs	Scientific publications	Scientific conferences	Question- naires	Work- shop	One-to-one meetings
	Months of the project	1-36	1-36	18+36	1+18-36	36	18-36	12-36	1-18	36	1-36
Stakeholder group	Authorities										
	NGOs										
	Farmers and landowners										
	Local businesses										
	Policy makers										
	Scientific community										
	Citizens and general public										



Acknowledgement

The InterRest Team



Funded by



SWEDISH ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY



Ministry of Agriculture, Nature and

Forschungsgemeinschaft German Research Foundation



Estonian Research Council





BiodivRestore has received funding j grant agreement No 101003777



Deutsche

Food Quality of the Netherlands

biodiversa

Water, inovation programme under the



Narratives on restored water NARROW

Håkan Tunón Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences

Finland: Snowchange Cooperative Switzerland: University of Lausanne and International Union for Conservation of Nature



Objectives and project description

NARROW will examine nature conservation and climate change mitigation led by local communities

Evaluate governance contexts of five different water restoration sites in Finland and Sweden taking the concept of OECM:s (Other Effective Area-Based Conservation Measures) into account.

What are the main tasks and activities of your project?

- All partners have planned and organize the work in order to find out:
 - How are the restoration projects organized?
 - What was the purpose of the restoration efforts?
 - What were the main achievements?
 - Have the local perceptions of the area changed as a result of the restoration?
- Finnish and Swedish partners will be main responsibility of the field work, and the Swiss partners will have a strong focus on the international policy survey
- All partners will analyze the results and disseminate the results





Expected Scientific Impact



Expected impact from scientific point of view?

 better understanding of success factors in local initiatives and the value of local community involvement

 reflections over how authorities and NGOs can contribute to good results

What potential breakthroughs can you foresee?

- Possible understanding among local and national authorities how to understand and enable inclusion of local capacities (ways to collaborate in context specific ways)



Expected Societal & Policy Impact

Societal challenges and/or policy issues?

There is a need to evaluate the possible contribution of OECMs to reach a future '30 by 30-goal' (i.e., 30 % protected areas by 2030)

Stakeholders:

- local communities
- local and regional actors in restoration
 international nature conservation actors

Impact from societal and policy point of view

Visualize the effect of restored areas on local communities and other stakeholders

Improved policy guidance on inclusion of local actors and devolving agency and capacity to local level in restoration projects

Dissemination of information?

Local meetings (formal/informal), local/regional press, national and international conferences, international policy discussions within the IUCN and CBD, and scientific papers





Acknowledgement



SWEDISH BIODIVERSITY CENTRE



Snowchange COOPERATIVE

Unil

UNIL | Université de Lausanne Institut de géographie et durabilité









SUOMALAINEN TIEDEAKATEMIA FINNISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCE AND LETTERS ACADEMIA SCIENTIARUM FENNICA

JPI



BiodivRestore has received funding grant agreement No 101003777



biodiversa

Water novation programme under the



Restoring peatlands of the nemoral zone under conditions of varying water supply and quality ReVersal

Coord.: Klaus-Holger Knorr, University of Münster, GER

Partners: Hanna Meyer (Münster, GER), Bjorn Robroek (Nijmegen, NLD), Stephan Glatzel (Vienna, AUS), Mariusz Lamentowicz (Poznan, POL)



Photo: Peter Raabe



Objectives and project description

ReVersal addresses difficulties and limitations in restoration of ombrotrophic peatlands, using a **combined approach** of:

palaeoecology, hydrology, biogeochemistry, greenhouse gas exchange, carbon budgets, vegetation ecology, and remote sensing

<u>Knorr (GER; coord.):</u> investigating peat quality, stoichiometry, potential degradability, carbon stocks, GHG fluxes, and vegetation to quantify **stocks, fluxes and current status**

<u>Lamentowicz (POL):</u> palaeoecological reconstruction of vegetation, water table levels, pollen records, etc. to set **past reference conditions** and understand **past resilience**

<u>Robroek (NLD):</u> assessing diversity in microbial and vegetation communities, characterizing present hydrological conditions to understand **current functioning**

<u>Glatzel (AUS)</u>: developing a **decision support system**, leading **stakeholder dialogue and outreach**, contribution to GHG measurements

<u>Meyer (GER):</u> Assessment and monitoring of sites using **remote sensing** techniques and developing a data-driven approach to derive **models for long-term monitoring** that can be applied across sites and beyond ReVersal



Location of project partners and study sites





BiodivRestore has received fu grant agreement No 101003 Map: Laura Giese

Expected Scientific Impact

Scientific impact and innovation:

While peatland restoration so far focuses mostly on individual aspects such as hydrology, or vegetation, ReVersal provides a holistic view of the sites to derive a truly **interdisciplinary approach**

ReVersal includes **present and past** aspects of biodiversity, carbon budgets, and hydrology to derive reference states for restoration sites and to better assess potentials and trajectories of restoration efforts

Breakthroughs:

Novel **remote sensing based tools and models** will enable assessment of peatlands beyond ReVersal study sites; these data-driven models will be based on and include process knowledge, aspects of biodiversity, and carbon budgets and thus go **beyond individual aspects of restoration**





Expected Societal & Policy Impact

The majority of **peatlands** in Europe is **strongly affected by drainage and anthropogenic disturbance and climate change** further adds to existing difficulties and limitations in restoration.

Yet the *importance of peatlands in national and global GHG budgets* is widely accepted and restoration efforts are undertaken

ReVersal wants to provide an **indicator framework beyond individual aspects of restoration** to balance trade-offs between restoration goals

We expect that this framework and remote sensing based tools and models will provide **multi-disciplinary, cost effective, and reliable long-term monitoring tools** on a scientific basis

The indicator framework and models will be discussed at **workshops** with farmers, the peat industry, nature conservationists, water managers, and administrative bodies to ensure a **co-development of research outputs**

Photo: Peter Raabe





Acknowledgement

Funding in the individual countries:

- Germany: Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG)
- Austria: Der Wissenschaftsfonds (FWF)

- The Netherlands: Ministerie van Landbouw, Natuur en Voedselkwaliteit (LNV)

- Poland: Narodowe Centrum Nauki (NCN)

FШF

Der Wissenschaftsfonds.



Ministerie van Landbouw, Natuur en Voedselkwaliteit









BiodivRestore has received funding grant agreement No 101003777





Water, inovation programme under the

Q&A

FIRST: BIOCONSENT - Decision-making Support for Forest Biodiversity Conservation and Restoration Policy and Management in Europe: Trade-offs and Synergies at the Forest-BiodiversityClimate-Water Nexus, by *Metodi Sotirov*

FRESHH - Farmer acceptable REstoration of Semi-natural Habitat to limit Herbicides, by *David Bohan*

InterRest - Interactive effects of local and landscape scale restoration of seminatural grasslands and agricultural fields on species interactions and ecosystem functions in different social-ecological systems, by *Catrin Westphal* **NARROW** - NARRatives On restored Water, by *Håkan Tunon*

ReVersal - Restoring peatlands of the nemoral zone under conditions of varying water supply and quality, by *Klaus-Holger Knorr*



PRESENTATION OF THE FUNDED PROJECTS (session 4/5)

FIRST: DEEP REST- Conservation & restoration of deep-sea ecosystems in the context of deep-sea mining, by *Jozee Sarrazin*

MPA4sustainability - Enhancing the role of MPAs in restoring biodiversity while maintaining access to ecosystem services, by *David Lusseau*

RESTORESEAS - Marine Forests of animals, plants and algae: nature-based tools to protect and restore biodiversity, by *Ester A Serrao*

REMOVE_DISEASE - Conservation and restoration of degraded insular biodiversity: impacts of the removal of introduced mammals on the dynamics of infectious diseases in seabirds across islands of the Southern Ocean, by *Thierry Boulinier*







Conservation and restoration of marine ecosystems in the context of deep-sea mining

Jozée Sarrazin (coordinator, Ifremer, France)

15 partners from 8 countries, 1.27 millions euros Duration : 01.04.2022 – 01.04.2025

KICK-OFF MEETING - May 4, 2022 - BIODIVRESTORE 2020-2021 CALL
DEEP-REST project partners (8 countries)

Coordinator: UMR BEEP, IFREMER, Plouzané, France

Brest University/CNRS/IFREMER, Plouzané, France CNRS/Sorbonne University, Roscoff, France Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium Alfred Wegener Institute, Bremerhaven, Germany **GEOMAR**, Kiel, Germany Senckenberg Institute, Wilhelmshaven, Germany National University of Ireland Galway, Galway, Ireland Royal Institute for Sea Research, Den Burg, Netherlands University Center, Svalbard, Norway University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway University of Aveiro, Aveiro, Portugal University of Algarve, Faro, Portugal University of the Azores, Horta, Portugal University of Seville, Sevilla, Spain



Connection between most active deep-sea scientists to experienced economists & jurists.



Context

Increasing demand for **mineral resources** worldwide for the car & nuclear industries, new technologies but also for renewable energies.

Strategic mineral resources found in deep-sea ecosystems including manganese crust, **polymetallic nodules** and **seafloor massive sulfides**.

Lack of **fundamental knowledge** about the biodiversity associated with these ecosystems, their functioning and the services they provide.

Clock is ticking : The International Seabed Authority (ISA) is currently drafting the mining code that will regulate mining operations in the Area (2023) and is working on the development of Regional Environmental Management Plans.

Information on **how to mitigate the impacts** of future mining activities are of utmost importance : **conservation, restoration, mitigation**.











Objectives and project description

DEEP REST will develop a **novel approach** to improve our **conservation/restoration capacities** in two deep-ocean ecosystems threatened by mining.

- (1) investigate and compare the **biodiversity**, **functioning & connectivity** of biological communities within and across ecosystems, linking to environmental conditions;
- (2) evaluate the **recovery potential** and **resilience** of deep-sea communities at different degrees of disturbance, identify indicators of change and characterize tipping points;
- (3) test, through experimentation, the **effectiveness of different restoration actions** on the recovery of communities;
- (4) evaluate conservation/restoration outcomes in terms of ecosystem services and identify the governance arrangements needed for efficient actions in concertation with stakeholders;
- (5) provide scientific guidance to stakeholders and policy-makers and recommendations to support deep-sea governance, ensuring a sustainable management of resources and conservation of ecosystems;
- (6) communicate with **stakeholders** on **issues linked to the exploitation** of deep mineral resources.



Study areas





Active & inactive hydrothermal vents Northern Mid-Atlantic Ridge Arctic Mid-Ocean Ridge



Expected scientific, societal + policy impacts

- Acquisition + integration of fundamental knowledge on biodiversity, functioning & connectivity in link with environmental conditions in two ecosystems threatened by deep-sea mining;
- Evaluation of socio-economic dimensions in concertation with stakeholders including identification of ecosystem services + potential costs & benefits.
- Assessment of conservation and restoration scenarios to integrate knowledge and concerns from scientists and stakeholders
- Development of improved management strategies + identification of areas to set aside for conservation + of reference areas. Recommendations for the design of "marine protected areas".
- Policy briefs that will feed into the public debate + actions/interactions with the general public + with students/classrooms.

Acknowledgements

Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR), France Ministry of Agriculture, Nature and Food Quality (LNV), Netherlands The Research Foundation – Flanders (FWO), Belgium German Federal Ministry of Research (BMBF), VDI/VDE-IT, Germany Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Ireland Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT), Portugal Fundo Regional para a Ciência e Tecnologia (FRCT), Portugal-Azores State Research Agency (AEI), Spain

Web site : https://deep-rest.ifremer.fr/





Enhancing MPAs' role in restoring biodiversity while maintaining access to ecosystem services

mpa4sustainability

David Lusseau (DTU, DK)

DTU Aqua (DK), SLU Aqua (SE), Museu da Baleia (Madeira, PT), CRM (ES), CSIC (ES), CNRS-EPHE-CRIOBE (FR)

mpa4sustainability



Objectives & Project description

- Challenge: >17,000 MPAs globally
 - Clear management plan: ~23% & Management effectiveness evaluations: ~1%
- **Objective**: How can we more effectively use existing MPAs
 - achieve biodiversity targets & maximise their contributions to the blue economy



Expected Scientific Impact

• Sustainability Science: Form & function of coupled human-nature marine systems and how they can be exploited sustainably and efficiently monitored and managed.

 Multiple scale insight derived from global retrospective analyses, new fundamental dynamical models, and three regional scale case studies



complexity: high - plan: scoping

Designation

§3 Protected Habitats

Biotope Protection Order

Integral Natural Reserve

Leisure And Mountain Reserve

Baltic Sea Protected Area (HELCOM)

Land Acquired By Conservatoire Du Littoral



mpa4sustainabili



complexity: low - plan: design phase

Marine Protected Area (OSPAR)

Marine Park

Natural Monument

Nature Reserve

Partial Reserve

Other



complexity: intermediate - plan: mature

Protected by conservation order
 Protected Landscape
 Ramsar Site, Wetland of International Importance
 Site of Community Importance (Habitats Directive)
 Special Protection Area (Birds Directive)
 Wildlife And Plant Sanctuary



BiodivRestore has received grant agreement No 10100

Expected Societal & Policy Impact

- **Policy:** integrative governance & management of multiple neighbouring disparate MPAs to maximise biodiversity outcomes and ecosystem services delivery.
- Management: develop management and cost-effective monitoring & management guidelines
 - to maximize opportunities for a biodiversity-rich & sustainable marine exploitations
- **Tool**: user-friendly simulation platform to develop integrative management plan
 - to consider the socioecological trade-offs,
 - identify management actions,
 - develop a monitoring programme,
 - recognise how adjacent existing MPAs can be used synergistically.
- **Beta-testing**: Practical guidelines to implement the Decision Support System in three European case studies.



Acknowledgement



- Innovation Fund Denmark,
- The French National Research Agency,
- The Spanish State Research Agency,
- the Swedish Environmental Protection Agency,
- The Portuguese The Foundation for Science and Technology

We want to also thank our case study partners

- Região Autónoma da Madeira,
- Øresundsvandssamarbejdet,
- Conseil Départemental des Pyrénées Orientales
- Conseil de gestion du Parc Naturel Marin du Golfe du Lion
- IUCN Marine & Polar and Protected Areas Programmes
- We are eager to explore collaborations with
 - BINatUr, BIOCONSENT, COAST, COSAR, DEEP REST, EMYS-R, NARROW, **RESTORESEAS**





Nater

mpa4sustainability

RESTORESEAS

Marine Forests of animals, plants and algae: naturebased tools to protect and restore biodiversity





Marine habitat restoration that targets the recovery of ecosystem functions

V7 276HD-2 CA-25 04JUL13 H5 EL 0082.9HS 14C 15:44:00

high productivity, C fixation, shelter, nursery, coastal protection, reduction of nutrients and turbidity, commercial applications, aesthetic beauty, intrinsic evolutionary value.





RESTORESEAS Marine Forests of animals, plants and algae: naturebased tools to protect and restore biodiversity



Target ecosystems to restore: marine forests of macroalgae, seagrasses and corals

Partner

Partner 1 - CCMAR (Centre Mar. Sci. Univ. Algarve, Portugal) - Ester Serrao Partner 2 - UGENT (Univ. Gent, Belgium) - Olivier De Clerck Partner 3 - NORCE (Norwegian Res. Inst.)- Thomas Gunnar Dahlgren <thda@nd Partner 4 - UGOT (Univ. Goteborg) - Ann Larsson Partner 5 - UFSC (Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, Brazil) - Paulo Horta Partner 6 - CDU(Chouaib Doukkali University, Morocco) - SABOUR BRAHIM Partner 7 - MUB (Mendel University in Brno, Czec Republic) - Thomas Jung Partner 8 - NHMV (Natural History Museum Vienna, Austria) - Frade Pedro <per Partner 9 - ULPGC (Universidad de Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain) - Ricardo Partner 10 - IMAR-UA (University of Azores) - Pedro Afonso Partner 11 - SGN (Senckenberg Gesellschaft für Naturforschung) - André Freiwa Partner 12: UvA (University of Amsterdam) - Gerard Muyzer Partner 13: UFES (Federal University of Espîrito Santo) - Jean Christophe Joyeux







RESTORESEAS Marine Forests of animals, plants and algae: naturebased tools to protect and restore biodiversity

Funders

Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT), Portugal

Austrian Science Fund (FWF), Austria

Research Foundation of Flanders (FWO), Belgium

Brazilian National Council of State Funding Agencies (CONFAP), Brazil

Technology Agency of the Czech Republic (TA CR), Czech Republic

German Research Foundation (DFG), Germany

Ministry of Agriculture, Nature and Food Quality (LNV), Netherlands

Research Council of Norway (RCN), Norway

Ministry of National Education, Vocational Training, Higher Education and Scientific Research (MENFPRESRS), Morocco

Regional Fund for Science and Technology (FRCT), Portugal - Azores

State Research Agency (AEI), Spain

The Swedish Environmental Protection Agency (SEPA), Sweden



biodiverso+ Water			
Milestones and Deliverables numbers 1		1	European Biodiversity Partnership
are listed in the proposal forms			317
WP/Task	Task Denomination	1	
WP1	CROSS-SYSTEMS APPROA	CHES	
Task 1.1	Scientific and public communication, participation and outreach		integrates local actors in participatory actions of management and restoration of marine forest- dominated environments undergoing disturbance
Task 1.2	Mapping vulnerable/degraded marine forests along the Atlantic coastlines		spatio/temporal biodiversity trends - past and future climate-threatened marine forests where restoration needs climate-adapted strategies.
Task 1.3	Role of habitat restoration and conservation on biodiversity		 Biodiversity in the seawater surrounding marine forests Biodiversity over geological time on sediments surrounding marine forests
Task 1.4	Roles of the microbiome in restoration		investigate if restoration success of selected seagrass, seaweed and coral, can be monitored using microbial traits (e.g., indicator species or functions) and improved by microbiome manipulation
Task 1.5	Diversity and role of pathogens in restoration		discover the diversity, distribution, potential origin role of pathogens in marine forests predict environmental factors affecting pathosystems
WP2	DEEPER MARINE FORESTS	- CO	nlant corals with local stakeholders (fishermen) in community-based restoration actions
Task 2.1	Restoration of cold-water coral habitat		Compare efficiency of sexually derived larvae and micro fragments for survival , growth, time
WP3	MARINE FORESTS OF PLAN	ITS A	
Task 3.1	Marine restoration for a future climate - phenotyping for adaptive restoration of macroalgal forests		Use adaptive variation and local adaptation to temperature in restoration and management planning.
Task 3.2	Tipping points in seagrass and macroalgal restoration success - contrasting restoration approaches across multiple models		Estimate critical minimum planting size for restoration - Minimum Conservation Units

14/ 4















Conservation and restoration of degraded insular biodiversity: impacts of the removal of introduced mammals on the dynamics of infectious diseases in seabirds across islands of the Southern Ocean REMOVE DISEASE



Thierry Boulinier (Coordinator)

- CEFE CNRS Université Montpellier, France
 MARE Marine and Environmental Sciences
 Center, ISPA Instituto Universitário, Lisboa,
 Portugal (Paulo Catry)
- FitzPatrick Institute of African Ornithology University of Cape Town, South Africa (Peter Ryan)
 Nelson Madela University (Maëlle Connan)
- Bird Life South Africa, South Africa
- National Nature Reserve of the French Southern Lands, France
- Falkland Conservation, Falkland Islands
- Birdlife International, Cambridge, UK



Context & project aim

Context:

- Introduced mammal species = major threats to biodiversity/seabirds on islands
- Restoration efforts via removal of introduced mammal species





Marion Island's

- Infectious diseases = neglected threat to densely breeding species

E.g., Avian cholera killing each year thousands of nestling albatrosses on Amsterdam Island

Restoration plans:

- Marion Island (SA)

- Amsterdam Island (FR)



- Introduced species and infectious disease dynamics?

- Removing introduced mammals \rightarrow extra benefit to biodiversity conservation via effects on disease dynamics?

 \rightarrow **Project aim:** determine if removing introduced mammal species from islands provide indirect benefits via effects on the dynamics of infectious diseases



Objectives and project description



Main tasks:

- Document the effects of introduced species in ecoepidemiological dynamics involving seabirds on islands and the indirect effects of restoration efforts

To achieve this,

- 3 sets of sub-Antarctic islands
- Academic partners involved in long-term seabird population ecology
 - Stakeholders involved in seabird conservation
 - Field work, laboratory analyses, modelling, communication





Expected Impacts



biodivers



novation programme under the

Nater

IPI

Acknowledgement

Funders of the project:



For more information:



http://removedisease.fr

JPI



BiodivRestore has received funding grant agreement No 101003777





Water, novation programme under the

Q&A

FIRST: DEEP REST- Conservation & restoration of deep-sea ecosystems in the context of deep-sea mining, by *Jozee Sarrazin*

MPA4sustainability - Enhancing the role of MPAs in restoring biodiversity while maintaining access to ecosystem services, by *David Lusseau*

RESTORESEAS - Marine Forests of animals, plants and algae: nature-based tools to protect and restore biodiversity, by *Ester A Serrao*

REMOVE_DISEASE - Conservation and restoration of degraded insular biodiversity: impacts of the removal of introduced mammals on the dynamics of infectious diseases in seabirds across islands of the Southern Ocean, by *Thierry Boulinier*



PRESENTATION OF THE FUNDED PROJECTS (session 5/5)

FIRST: BIO-TRADE - Protecting Biodiversity through Regulating Trade and International Business, by *Anu Lähteenmäki-Uutela*

NICHES - Nature's Integration in Cities' Hydrologies, Ecologies and Societies, by *McKenna Davis*

BiNatUr - Bringing nature back – biodiversity friendly nature-based solutions in cities, by *Kati Vierikko*





Protecting biodiversity through regulating trade and business relations BIO-TRADE

Anu Lähteenmäki-Uutela (Finnish Environment Institute)

Finnish Environment Institute, Finland Raoul Wallenberg Institute for Human Rights and Humanitarian Law, Sweden Centre for Private Governance, University of Copenhagen, Denmark Centre for Development and Environment, University of Bern, Switzerland



Objectives and project description

The main objective of BIO-TRADE: to understand how the EU and European countries should regulate trade and business relations to protect biodiversity outside Europe

- The main tasks and activities:
- WP1 Coordination, SYKE
- WP2 Trade law, University of Bern
- WP3 Due diligence in supply chains, University of Copenhagen
- WP4 No net loss, mitigation hierarchy and offsets, SYKE
- WP5 Human rights perspectives, Raoul Wallenberg Institute
- **Outputs**: scientific articles, policy briefs, Practical Guide, workshops and events



Expected scientific and societal impacts

The expected *impacts* of BIO-TRADE:

- improved understanding on the impacts of law

→ more efficient, fairer, and more coherent law, e.g., EU Deforestation Regulation, EU Corporate Sustainability Due Diligence Directive

 \rightarrow enhanced biodiversity footprint of European companies and European consumption



Stakeholder engagement and dissemination

Stakeholder engagement at BIO-TRADE events:

youth event, business managers event, national business and policy workshops, Brussels policy workshop, scientific seminar, Practical Guide launch event

Dissemination of information: conferences, business organizations' events, web page, social media, Advisory Committee



Acknowledgements





SWEDISH ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY







BiodivRestore has received funding ; grant agreement No 101003777



biodiverse Water Inovation programme under the





Nature's integration in cities' hydrologies, ecologies and societies



McKenna Davis, Ecologic Institute (Coordinator)

Partners:

- Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (UAB), Spain
- Netherlands Institute of Ecology (NIOO-KNAW)
- University of Sheffield, UK
- Northeastern University, USA
- Pensoft Publishers, Bulgaria



Objectives and project description

NICHES will utilise five global cities as co-design arenas to explore the potential for **mitigating combined sewage overflow (CSO) through naturebased solutions** to reduce negative impacts on aquatic ecosystems





Expected Scientific Impact

By adopting a **holistic SETS approach** (socio-ecological-technical systems approach)...

- Co-create shared understanding of restorative NBS to avoid storm-water runoff
- Develop a novel ecosystem provisioning module illustrating CSO consequences on ecosystem service provisioning
- Generate NBS scenario maps to increase consideration of hydrological impacts in planning and decision-making
- Produce an integrated water assessment framework for restorative NBS, considering trade-offs and synergies





Expected Societal & Policy Impact

- Improve NBS effectiveness and upscaling for (aquatic) restoration
- Mitigate societal, ecological and economic impacts of CSO
- **Co-create transition pathways** for increased policy integration of NBS and more integrated governance approaches to overcome policy / planning silos
- **Support sectoral policy goals** through biodiversity protection, increased NBS uptake and improvements to human health and water quality
- Strong stakeholder involvement through co-design arenas, targeted outreach and exploitation activities



Acknowledgements

- VDI/VDE-IT, Germany
- Agencia Estatal de Investigación (AEI), Spain
- Ministry of Agriculture, Nature and Food Quality (LNV), Netherlands ۲

SPONSORED BY THE



Federal Ministry of Education and Research





IP

Ministry of Agriculture, Nature and Food Quality of the Netherlands



Water biodiverse

novation programme under the

Bringing nature back – biodiversity-friendly nature-based solutions in cities (BiNatUr)

Kati Vierikko project coordinator Finnish Environment Institute (SYKE) 🐋

- 1. Humboldt University of Berlin (HU Berlin)
- 2. FVB e.V. for the Leibniz Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries (IGB)
- 3. Poznań University of Life Sciences (PULS)
- 4. Universiteit Antwerpen (UAntwerp)
- 5. Centre for Ecology, Evolution and Environmental Changes, University of Lisbon (FCiências.ID)




Objectives and project description

SETS framing to study aquaNBS (ponds & streams)



Social	Ecological	Technological
How is BD valued among planners and practitioners? What is the role of biodiversity in planning NBS? How it can be enhanced?	What is biodiversity in aquaNBS? Is there linkages between BD and regulating ES? How does surrounding vegetation influence BD and ES of aguaNBS?	How ESs and BD of aquaNBS are affected by local infrastructure and surrounding land-uses? How technology used in NBS influence BD and ESs?
Key social components for aquaNBS (WP1), expert interviews (WP2), document analyses (WP2), workshops and meetings (WP2)	Key ecological components for aquaNBS (WP1), analyzing landscape patterns (WP3), water stable isotope and eDNA analyzes (WP4), standardized inventories of biological diversity and habitat quality (WP5)	Key technological components for aquaNBS (WP1), expert interviews (WP2), document analysis (WP2), analyzing built environment (WP3), gray infra and technological solutions of aquaNBS (WP5)
WP1: SYKE and HU Berlin WP2: SYKE and HU Berlin	WP4: IGB and FCiências.ID WP5: FCiências.ID and PULS	WP3: HU Berlin and Uantwerp

Expected Scientific Impact

BiNatUr brings new scientific evidence on the role of biodiversity and its linkage with regulating ES in urban water-based NBS ("aquaNBS")

The SETS framework has been proposed as a holistic approach for the study of complex and strongly interactive systems, but has not yet been used to empirically study urban green spaces, small water bodies or aquaNBS

BiNatUr will test and further develop the SETS concept for empirical research to guide the methodological design, data collection and analyses.



©Harri Keinänen





Expected Societal & Policy Impact of BiNatUr project:

- Support the urban greening objectives of European Biodiversity Strategy for 2030 by shifting current planning and management activities of aquaNBS to become more BD-supportive.
- Support **EU Nature Restoration Targets** by providing guidelines for biodiversityfriendly planning and restoration of aquaNBS.
- Produce recommendations on how urban planning can effectively co-design, monitor, and enhance the biodiversity and ES of aquaNBS.
- By studying cities in representative climatic regions, BiNatUr provide solutions that may become important in the future under climate change
- Closely engage with local urban planners and practitioners during the project through meetings, consultations and fields trips
- Publish recommendations (e.g. technical case cards) in project partners' official languages
- Publish blog writings about methods used and main outcomes during the project
- Actively communicate in social media
- Project website: <u>www.bringingnatureback.com</u> will open soon!



Acknowledgement

BiNatUr project is funded by:

Academy of Finland, Finland Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF), Germany Federal Ministry of Education and Research, Germany National Science Center Poland, Poland Research Foundation Flanders (fwo), Belgium Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia, Portugal







Q&A

FIRST: BIO-TRADE - Protecting Biodiversity through Regulating Trade and International Business, by *Anu Lähteenmäki-Uutela*

NICHES - Nature's Integration in Cities' Hydrologies, Ecologies and Societies, by *McKenna Davis*

BiNatUr - Bringing nature back – biodiversity friendly nature-based solutions in cities, by *Kati Vierikko*



Expectations towards funded projects & follow-up activities

By Céline Billiere, ANR, Follow up Team & Frédéric Lemaitre, FRB, Biodiversa+ SSI-SPI officer



Follow-up of the funded projects

Follow-up team contact

Follow-up Team is in charge of the follow-up of the projects
It is based at ANR, France:

Céline Billiere: <u>celine.billiere@agencerecherche.fr</u> Sophie Germann: <u>Sophie.germann@agencerecherche.fr</u>



Follow-up

<u>Changes in a project (incl. cost-neutral extension):</u>

Any change in the project (e.g. changes in the consortium) has to be requested by the coordinator to the Follow-up Team by sending a note justifying and explaining the requested change.

The request then has to be assessed & agreed by the Call Steering Committee (CSC), i.e. funding organisations.

After CSC decision, each research partner should contact her/his respective funding organisation to finalize the process at the national/regional level.

IMPORTANT No extension of project is possible as the BiodivRestore programme is a COFUND action – and projects have to be terminated by the end date of the BiodivRestore Action (it's a matter of eligibility of costs).

Please inform the Follow-up Team in case your consortium faces any major difficulties.



Reporting

MID-TERM REPORT: ~1 ½ year after the start dates of the projects

➤ These mid-term reports will be assessed by a Follow-up group. A summary of the review will be sent to the coordinators, with recommendations or requests for clarifications, when needed.

FINAL REPORTING: At the end of all the projects

These final reports will be assessed by a Follow-up group. A summary of the review will be sent to the coordinators, with recommendations or requests for clarifications when needed.

~ Sept. 2023

~ Sept. 2025

The Follow-up Team will circulate the report templates to the coordinators in the next couple of months. We will remind you that you have to submit your reports ca. two months before each deadline.



Communication Tools and Dissemination requirements



Open Data/Open Access Platform

In line with the H2O2O guidelines, Biodiversa and the Water JPI are implementing an Open Access policy, which refers to:

- Peer-reviewed scientific research articles (published in scholarly journals), or
- ✓ Research data

(data underlying publications, curated data and/or raw data)

Open Data Open Access Water JPI platform is available through:

http://opendata.waterjpi.eu/

⇒ The aim of the Open Access Open Data platform is to stimulate Open Data for all scientific publications produced within the projects funded by BiodivRestore ERA-NET. The Open Data & Open Access JPI tool is open and available for public consultation without password.

The researchers can insert new data using the assigned password.

⇒ More information will be provided during the data management WS on 19 May



Dissemination by RD projects: Acknowledgement

To acknowledge Biodiversa, the Water JPI and the funding organisations that funded your research project through the BiodivRestore programme, please follow the following guidelines:

- ⇒ FOR ALL TYPE OF SUPPORT, IN PARTICULAR PAPERS PUBLISHED IN SCIENTIFIC JOURNALS, indicate the following sentence: "This research was funded through the join 2019-2020 Biodiversa & Water JPI joint call for research proposals, under the BiodivRestore ERA-Net COFUND programme, and with the funding organisations XXX, XXX, XXX and XXX."
- ▷ Important: please check with the relevant funding organisations if you need to indicate further details (e.g. ID number, full legal name, acronym etc.)







Dissemination by RD projects: Acknowledgement

In addition, in any PowerPoint presentation or poster etc., use the Biodiversa, Water JPI and European Commission logos, as well as the logos of the relevant funding organisations.

!! Important: As you should act as an international project, please note that you have to **acknowledge the funding organisations of all the research partners involved in this work** (and e.g. not only the funding organisation of the team who is leading the publication)

Reminder: A real collaboration between research teams and integration of research carried out is expected, and this should lead to co-publications between the different research teams, and more particularly between the different countries, involved in the project. **!!**



Our communication tools

Biodiversa and the Water JPI are happy to relay your news (e.g. publications, events, news) through our communication channels

Make sure to contact us early enough (e.g. before the lift of the embargo of a new publication so that we can coordinate communication at the publication of the article, etc.)

Our communication channels are:

- Biodiversa and Water JPI newsletters / newsflashes
- Social networks
 - ✓ LinkedIn

Biodiversa+ – <u>https://www.linkedin.com/company/biodiversaplus/</u>

Water JPI researchers forum - <u>https://www.linkedin.com/groups/8455262/</u>

✓ Twitter

@BiodiversaPlus
@WaterJPl

• Biodiversa & Water JPI websites

For any news you would like to share, contact us:

- Biodiversa: contact@biodiversa.org
- Water JPI: waterjpicommunication@agencerecherche.fr

The online booklet of funded projects

Our first communication product for the BiodivRestore funded projects:

the Joint Call Booklet

\succ It is an online brochure presenting the call process/results and each funded projects

You can use your project's fiche as a communication material

Summary of the 2020-2021 BiodivRestore Call

The aim of this call was to support transnational research projects on conservation and rest or this call was to support transmissional releases projects on conservation and relationation of degrade ims and their biodiversity, including a focus on equatic systems, property taking into account socio-soci intexts, and promoting innovative research for more informed decision-making.

This joint call included a focus on freshwater aquatic systems but all environments were eligible, i.e. marine, freshwater and terrestrial - including urban. While projects could focus on only one environment: pro paring different environments or studying links between environments (e.g., equatic-terrestrial) were particularly

Three major (non-exclusive) themes were addressed by the call

Projects could address one or several themes. Projects combining aspects from two or more themes were encouraced

Theme 1: Studying the biological and biochysi- Theme 3: Knowledge for improving the effecsees at stake for conservation/restora-tiveness and upscaling of conservation and res-toration actions. on, and their interactions.

arch theme focussed on the role of different. The objectives of this theme were to scale-up probiodiversity dimensions for conservation and restora- cesses to landscapes or regions and across gradients tion, the relationship between ecosystem functioning integrate conservation and restoration approaches and biodiversity during conservation and restoration for improving their effectiveness and evaluate and uses of degraded ecosystems and on the importance of time scales for assessing and understanding

consider uncertainties associated to conservatio and restoration approaches for developing adaptive

Theme 2: Assessing trade-offs and synergies between targets, benefits and policies for con-servation and restoration.

This theme mostly aimed at research contributing to This theme mostly amed at research contributing to help orpooling usunitative and qualitative targets for conservation and restoration in support to integrated policies and meagement or practices on the ground. To this aim, analyses of trade-offs and synapsis abuve antargets of conservation undirectoration meas-rures, assessments of social and economic benefits and apoint of internet variance and economic benefits and costs of conservation and restoration approache and the development of integrative social-apploping

Type of research funded

This call targeted transdisciplinary projects of 3 years, involving partners from at least three different counlevel of collaboration between teams from different tries participating in the call. countries had to be clearly demonstrated to allow for upscaling of knowledge beyond the national level, o

Given the nature of the research supported through this call, proposals had to engage different disciplines including biological, natural, social, economic, political

Analysis of the call results

within the call

	No. of proposals	No. of teams	Budget
Submitted pre-proposals	172	1,122	154.2 M€
Submitted proposals	92	671	88.2 M€
Selected proposals	22	162	21.3 ME

participating teams, this 2020-2021 call attracted a high number of applicants, demonstrating the interest 12.8%. Given the very high competitiveness of the call, the success rate was lower than the average success from the scientific community for the themes proposed rate in Biodiversa calls (which is of 17.1%), but close to the average success rate in Water JPI calls (which is of 11.7%). Yet, thanks to the high flexibility of several Out of the 172 eligible pre-proposals received, the funding organisations who agreed to increase their Call Steering Committee decided to fund the 22 high-est ranked proposals for a total amount of over 21.3 of top-ranked proposals. budget, it was possible to fund the maximum number

Geographical origin of the applicants

The large majority (95.8%) of the teams who submitted The remaining 4.2% came from 22 additional couna pre-proposal came from the 25 countries participat-ing in the funding of the BiodivRestore call, i.e., Austria, pating in the Call (2.6%). Belgium, Brazil, Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Denmark,

Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Ireland, Latvia, Teams from countries not participating in the joint call Lithuania, Moldova, Morocco, Netherlands, Norway, were sub-contracted or self-funded partners. Poland, Portugal (including the Azores), Romania Slovakia, South Africa, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland

Taiwan, and Tunisia. Unfortunately, no research team ova submitted eligible pre-proposal



COSAR Context-dependence of the societal and ecological outcomes from river ecosystem restoration MORE INFORMATION Jeremy pitf-ADIr jeremy pitf-ady/inrae.tr TOTAL GRANT SOCIAL NETWORK

Coordinator: Functioning of hydroxys (RiverLy), INRAE, Villaurbanne, Franc

Inthropized Continental Hydrosystems lesources, Plaks, Restoration (HYCAR)

a quartitative effects of the various context var ect. In this context, COSAR is designed to identify the effects of context variables on bo

AIN ACTIVITIES

An use of the second se

various spatial scales, submitted to multiple huma

river reach scales; explaining how these sets of restoration outcomes are influenced by geographical, societal and environmental contexts, both considered in their spatial and temporal ting with a large set of stakeholder groups

all along the project, the development of a project

UTCOMES AND EXPECTED IMPACTS

compendium of fact sheets, including secto

c perspectives, context influence summaries

al restoration planning, COBAR will als dion programs to better promote aqua

OSAR will use existing monitoring dat storation projects. This dataset covers G rojects), Switzerland (15 projects), Neth

Ministry of Agriculture, Nature and Food Guality II NIO Netherlands





BiodivRestore has received funding from the European Union's Harizon 2020 research and innevation programme under the grant agreement No 101003777





comparative approaches of different local context

Additional activities

Advancing skills and opportunities for Biodiverstore projects





NETWORKING & CLUSTERING

OBJECTIVE

Allow funded researchers from different world regions and disciplines to exchange on practices and results and work together on scientific publications and other activities; think about the research priorities; provide guidance for future actions to meet research needs.

PLANNED ACTIVITIES

Several events planned :

- 4 MAY Kick-Off Meeting
- 5 MAY Clustering workshop
- Ca. Sept. 2023 Networking event between researchers and stakeholders
- Ca. March 2025 Final Conference



DATA MANAGEMENT

Invitation to the data management workshop on 19 MAY. This workshop will aim to provide you with the necessary tools to further improve and implement your Data Management Plans (DMPs).

Check Guidance document on data management, open data, and the production of Data Management Plans:

http://www.biodiversa.org/1830





science-policysociety interfacing

Networking and capacity building

- ✓ Tools for stakeholder engagement
- $\checkmark\,$ Ad hoc and networking events
- ✓ Opportunities to get involved in sciencepolicy fora
- ✓ NetworkNature/Oppla communities & dialogue events







science-policysociety interfacing

Networking and capacity building

- ✓ Tools for stakeholder engagement
- ✓ Ad hoc and networking events
- Opportunities to get involved in sciencepolicy fora
- NetworkNature/Oppla communities & dialogue events



Opportunities in NetworkNature: networknature.eu/

- Getting your project and results on NBS known (project pages and case studies, public database of EU NBS R&I projects, database of evidence on NBS...)
- Getting to meet peers and other stakeholders working on NBS (online and in person events, EU and national fora and events on NBS, calendar of NBS events, Network of SMEs on NBS...)
- Relating and contributing to NBS R&I policy and knowledge gaps (review and database of EU NBS knowledge gaps, opportunities to contribute to the development of an EU R&I roadmap on NBS
- Getting involved with H2020/HEurope NBS Task Forces





Dissemination and uptake

- ✓ Opportunities for policy briefs (see <u>www.biodiversa.org/policybriefs</u>)
- ✓ Prize for excellence and impact (see <u>www.biodiversa.org/1550</u>)
- ✓ Valorization of project outcomes (e.g. <u>www.biodiversa.org/943</u>)
- ✓ Online knowledge marketplaces and case-study repositories (see e.g. <u>oppla.eu/</u>)









Q&A session





Concluding words

By Maja Kolar, AEI, Spain





Thank you very much for your participation

For the funded projects

Do not forget the clustering WS tomorrow (05/05) from 9:00 to 13:00

